

1 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE
MALLORY & NATSIS LLP
2 DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334)
TIM C. HSU (BAR NO. 279208)
3 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800
Los Angeles, California 90017-2543
4 Phone: (213) 622-5555
Fax: (213) 620-8816
5 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com
thsu@allenmatkins.com

6 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE
MALLORY & NATSIS LLP
7 EDWARD G. FATES (BAR NO. 227809)
One America Plaza
8 600 West Broadway, 27th Floor
San Diego, California 92101-0903
9 Phone: (619) 233-1155
Fax: (619) 233-1158
10 E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com

11 Attorneys for Receiver
12 KRISTA L. FREITAG

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 SOUTHERN DIVISION

16 Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
and Linda A. Lacewell, Acting
17 Superintendent of the New York State
Department of Financial Services,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 Pension Funding, LLC; Pension
21 Income, LLC; Steven Covey; Edwin
Lichtig; and Rex Hofelter,

22 Defendants.
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 8:15-cv-1329

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND
MOTION TO CONCLUDE
RECEIVERSHIP AND FOR ORDER:
(1) AUTHORIZING RECEIVER TO
MAKE FINAL DISTRIBUTIONS TO
APPROVED CLAIMANTS AND
ESTABLISH RESERVE;
(2) APPROVING FINAL
ACCOUNTING AND REPORT;
(3) APPROVING DISPOSITION OF
BOOKS AND RECORDS; AND
(4) CONDITIONALLY
DISCHARGING RECEIVER;
RECEIVER'S FINAL ACCOUNTING
AND REPORT**

Date: May 3, 2019
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Ctrm.: 10A
Judge: Hon. Josephine L. Staton

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
1		
2		
3	I. RECEIVER'S FINAL ACCOUNTING AND REPORT	6
4	A. Procedural History, Factual Background and Business	
5	Assessment.....	6
6	B. Receiver's Forensic Accounting.....	9
7	C. Asset Recovery.....	10
8	D. Receiver Reporting and Communications	12
9	E. Receivership Accounting	13
10	F. Claims and Interim Distribution Process	13
11	II. CLOSING MATTERS	14
12	A. Establishment of Reserve, Payment of Administrative	
13	Expenses and Proposed Final Distribution	14
14	1. Establishment of Reserve	14
15	2. Administrative Expenses	14
16	3. Proposed Final Distribution.....	15
17	B. Destruction of Records.....	16
18	C. Discharge of Receiver	17
19	III. ARGUMENT	17
20	A. Broad Equitable Powers of the Court	17
21	B. Conclusion of Receivership and Discharge of Receiver	18
22	IV. MEET AND CONFER.....	20
23	V. CONCLUSION	20
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page(s)****Cases**

<i>Commodities Futures Trading Comm'n. v. Topworth Int'l, Ltd.,</i> 205 F.3d 1107 (9th Cir. 1999).....	18
<i>First Empire Bank-New York v. FDIC,</i> 572 F.2d 1361 (9th Cir. 1978).....	19
<i>SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC,</i> 397 F.3d 733 (9th Cir. 2005).....	18
<i>SEC v. Elliot,</i> 953 F.2d 1560 (11th Cir. 1992).....	18
<i>SEC v. Hardy,</i> 803 F.2d 1034 (9th Cir. 1986).....	18
<i>SEC v. Wencke,</i> 622 F.2d 1363 (9th Cir. 1980).....	18

1 **TO THE HONORABLE JOSEPHINE L. STATON, JUDGE OF THE**
 2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, AND ALL INTERESTED PARTIES:**

3 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on May 3, 2019, at 10:30 a.m. in
 4 Courtroom 10A of the above-entitled Court, located at 411 West 4th Street, Santa
 5 Ana, California 92701-4516, Krista L. Freitag ("Receiver"), the Court-appointed
 6 permanent receiver for Defendants Pension Funding, LLC, Pension Income, LLC,
 7 and their subsidiaries, affiliates, and successors-in-interest, including PGR, LLC
 8 (collectively, "Receivership Entities"), will and hereby does move the Court to
 9 conclude the receivership and for an order, (1) authorizing Receiver to make final
 10 distributions to approved claimants and establish a reserve; (2) approving the final
 11 accounting and report; (3) approving disposition of books and records; and
 12 (4) conditionally discharging the Receiver ("Motion"). The Receiver and her
 13 counsel, Allen Matkins, have filed their final fee applications concurrently herewith.
 14 The Motion and all relevant pleadings are available at the Receiver's website,
 15 <http://www.ethreadvisors.com/cfpb-case-docs/>.

16 **Procedural Requirements:** If you oppose this Motion, you are required to
 17 file your written opposition with the Office of the Clerk, United States District
 18 Court, 411 West 4th Street, Santa Ana, California 92701-4516, and serve the same
 19 on the undersigned not later than 21 calendar days prior to the hearing.

20 IF YOU FAIL TO FILE AND SERVE A WRITTEN OPPOSITION by the
 21 above date, the Court may grant the requested relief without further notice. This
 22 Motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to L.R. 7-3.

23
 24 Dated: February 27, 2019

ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE
 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP

By: /s/ Edward Fates

EDWARD G. FATES
 Attorneys for Court-appointed
 Receiver KRISTA L. FREITAG

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Krista Freitag, the Court-appointed Receiver herein, respectfully submits this Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of her motion to conclude the receivership and: (1) authorizing the Receiver to make final distributions to approved claimants and establish reserve; (2) approving the final accounting and report; (3) approving disposition of books and records; and (4) conditionally discharging the Receiver ("Motion"). The Receiver and her counsel have filed their final fee applications concurrently herewith.

The Receiver has completed management of all assets of the receivership estate. As the receivership has progressed, more and more pensioners have paid off their lump sum advances. At this point, the monthly collections have declined to a point where they are not expected to exceed the monthly administrative expenses of the receivership. As there is no further material net benefit to be gained from maintaining the receivership, the Receiver requests that she be discharged and the case be closed, effective upon completion of the final closing tasks as described in Section II below.

The Receiver recovered a total of \$5.04 million,¹ returned nearly \$700,000 of pensioner lump sum overpayments,² and spent approximately \$55,000 on preservation and maintenance of assets, for a net recovery of approximately \$4.29 million. The Receiver's fees and costs, if all fee applications are approved, amount to approximately \$518,000, or about 10% of the total recovery. Administrative fees and costs for the Receiver's counsel amount to approximately \$381,000, or about 8% of the total recovery. If all fee applications are approved, the Receiver will have returned approximately \$700,000 or 14% of the total recovery to

¹ Recovery may increase by up to \$7,000 due to March through May 2019 pensioner loan payments. While awaiting the hearing hereon, the Receiver intends to continue collection of these payments for the benefit of the investors.

² These are amounts pensioners paid in excess of the lump sum advances they received.

1 pensioners who overpaid their lump sums, and will distribute nearly \$3.4 million or
 2 approximately 67% of the total recovery to investors under the distribution plan
 3 approved by the Court on May 31, 2017 (the "Distribution Plan") (Dkt. 147).
 4 Investors with approved claims will, in total, recover approximately \$13.8 million,
 5 which amounts to at least 55.875 cents on the dollar and on average about 57.2 cents
 6 for each dollar funded.

7 The relief sought herein will allow the Receiver to take the remaining steps
 8 necessary to conclude the receivership, including making final distributions to
 9 approved claimants.

10 **I. RECEIVER'S FINAL ACCOUNTING AND REPORT**

11 **A. Procedural History, Factual Background and Business Assessment**

12 This equity receivership arises from the Complaint for Violations of the
 13 Consumer Financial Protection Act and New York Banking and Financial Services
 14 Laws ("Complaint") (Dkt. No. 1) filed by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
 15 ("Bureau") and Superintendent of Financial Services of the State of New York
 16 ("Superintendent"). On August 20, 2015, the Bureau and Superintendent filed their
 17 Complaint against Defendants Pension Funding, LLC, Pension Income, LLC, Steven
 18 Covey, Edwin Lichtig, and Rex Hofelter. The Complaint alleges that Defendants
 19 violated the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 and New York state law by
 20 making "pension advances" to pensioners while (a) failing to disclose the
 21 transactions were loans, (b) failing to disclose or misrepresenting the interest rate
 22 associated with the loans, (c) making various other misrepresentations about the
 23 terms and/or benefits of the loans to pensioners, (d) charging interest rates to
 24 pensioners located in New York that exceeded the maximum rate allowed by New
 25 York law, and (e) engaging in the business of money transmitting without a money
 26 transmitter license.

27 On October 7, 2015, the Bureau and Superintendent moved for a preliminary
 28 injunction, appointment of a receiver, and other equitable relief ("Receiver Motion").

1 With the exception of Steven Covey, Defendants answered the Complaint on
2 October 23, 2015. Defendants then opposed the Receiver Motion on October 30,
3 2015. At the hearing on the Receiver Motion held on December 18, 2015, the parties
4 informed the Court that they had agreed upon a stipulation regarding preliminary
5 injunction. Dkt. No. 56. The Joint Stipulation Requesting Entry of Stipulated
6 Preliminary Injunction, Appointment of a Receiver, and Related Orders was filed on
7 December 21, 2015. Dkt. No. 57. On January 8, 2015, the Court entered the
8 Stipulated Preliminary Injunction, Appointment of a Receiver, and Related Matters
9 (the "Appointment Order"), which appointed Krista L. Freitag ("Receiver"), as
10 permanent receiver for Pension Funding, LLC, Pension Income, LLC, and their
11 subsidiaries, affiliates, and successors-in-interest, including PGR, LLC (collectively,
12 "Receivership Entities"). Dkt. No. 61.

13 In accordance with the Appointment Order and the law governing federal
14 equity receiverships, upon her appointment, the Receiver promptly took steps to
15 implement the terms and provisions of the Appointment Order, including (a) taking
16 control of all accounts of the Receivership Entities, (b) imaging and preserving all
17 electronic data of the Receivership Entities, (c) securing and taking possession of all
18 hard copy records and documents of the Receivership Entities, and (d) investigating,
19 issuing subpoenas, and gathering records as to the assets and potential claims of the
20 Receivership Entities.

21 On January 22, 2016, the Court entered the Stipulated Judgment. On March 1,
22 2016, the Receiver filed a Motion for Order in Aid of Receivership (Dkt. No. 71),
23 seeking authority to engage Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP
24 ("Allen Matkins") as her general counsel, for relief from Local Rule 66-5 and 66-7
25 and to file interim reports and interim fee applications on a quarterly basis. The
26 Court held a hearing on April 13, 2016, at which time it stated it would grant the
27 Receiver authority to engage Allen Matkins, grant relief from the requirement to
28 serve notices by mail under Local Rule 66-7, extend the deadline under Local

1 Rule 66-5 to file a schedule of known creditors to July 2016, and allow the schedule
2 of known creditors to be filed under seal. The Receiver's application to file the
3 schedule of known creditors under seal was filed concurrently with the Receiver's
4 Second Interim Report (Dkt. No. 100).

5 On March 25, 2016, Defendant Rex Hofelter made the \$40,000 payment
6 required under the Stipulated Judgment. Defendant Edwin Lichtig filed bankruptcy
7 in the Northern District of California, then voluntarily dismissed his bankruptcy and
8 paid the full \$282,000 with interest to the Receiver on April 26, 2016.

9 Other than the assets and collections noted herein, the Receivership Entities
10 did not have any business or generate any revenue. Defendant Lichtig was solely in
11 charge of the Receivership Entities at the time of the Receiver's appointment.
12 Therefore, the Receiver promptly assumed responsibility of all servicing operations
13 and collections pursuant to the Appointment Order.

14 Because the Receivership Entities did not keep comprehensive or detailed
15 books and records of the Pensioner and Investor accounts and transactions, the
16 forensic accounting, which the Appointment Order directed the Receiver to conduct,
17 was necessary to provide accurate information about the detailed sources and uses of
18 funds of the Receivership Entities. On October 19, 2016, the Receiver filed the
19 Receiver's Forensic Accounting Report and Recommendations (Dkt. No. 116), orders
20 on which were entered on December 14, 2016, and January 4, 2017. Pursuant to the
21 Court's December 14, 2016 order, the Receiver and her staff sent all third-party
22 investors a letter detailing their net loss calculation, explaining the claims process,
23 and providing them with 60 days to respond with any comments, questions, or
24 concerns thereto. These letters were sent by the end of December 2016. With no
25 disputes to the net loss calculations, at the end of the 60-day period, the Receiver
26 worked with counsel to prepare the proposed allowed claims schedule, distribution
27 plan, motion for approval thereof, and performed the calculations of proposed
28 interim distributions to those with proposed allowed claims.

On April 25, 2017, the Receiver filed her Notice of Motion and Motion for, (a) Approval of Proposed Allowed Claim Amounts, (b) Approval of Distribution Plan, and (c) Authority to Make Interim Distributions ("Distribution Motion") (Dkt. No. 139), which was approved on May 31, 2017 (Dkt. No. 147).

B. Receiver's Forensic Accounting

The Receiver was ordered "to make an accounting, as soon as practicable, to this Court and the Plaintiffs of the status of each completed transaction related to Defendants' pension-advance products and to propose a methodology, subject to the Court's approval, for determining how to unwind or otherwise resolve any such transaction." On October 19, 2016, the Receiver filed the Receiver's Forensic Accounting Report and Recommendations (Dkt. No. 116), which reflected analysis of approximately 300 bank accounts, orders on which were entered on December 14, 2016, and January 4, 2017.

To briefly summarize, according to Receivership Entities' bank and book records, during the period from August 2011 through early January 2016, PF-PI raised approximately \$26 million from approximately 181 Investors and used another \$1 million of company money to fund, among other things, (a) Lump Sum Payments to 286 Pensioners in the total amount of approximately \$15.4 million, (b) commission and agency payments to third parties totaling approximately \$2.2 million, (c) net payments to or for the benefit of Defendants Lichtig, Hofelter, and Covey totaling approximately \$3.4 million, (d) PF-PI operations totaling approximately \$2.7 million, (e) an investment in a loan secured by real estate in the net amount of \$1.2 million, (f) monthly payments to Investors whose Pensioners defaulted on their contracts in the total amount of approximately \$1.2 million, and (g) new contracts with Pensioners (with PF-PI as the Investor) totaling approximately \$1 million.

Armed with the forensic accounting analysis, the Receiver was able to make recommendations with regard to unwinding or otherwise resolving the Pensioner and

Investor transactions. The Receiver's recommendations are briefly summarized as follows:

Pensioner Transactions - The Receiver recommended that collections from Pensioners be limited to the "Lump Sums" they received in light of the strong likelihood that Federal and New York laws were violated by Defendants in soliciting and carrying out Pensioner transactions;

Collections from Pensioners - The Receiver recommended and sought authority to begin collection activity on defaulted Pensioners, including, where and only as appropriate, filing actions and enforcing judgments to collect the full Lump Sums; and

Investor Claims - The Receiver recommended and set forth proposed procedures for the efficient and effective review and administration of Investor claims against the receivership estate.

The forensic accounting data was also used to validate claims and as a foundation for the Receivers claims review and distribution plan further discussed below.

C. Asset Recovery

Through January 2016, prior to the Receiver's appointment, Investors were generally paid the contractual monthly payment each month, whether or not their Pensioners defaulted. In the event of default, funds were used from the Receivership Entity reserve accounts to cover the monthly shortfall. As a result of the significant amount of Pensioner defaults and bankruptcy filings, this shortfall coverage was quickly draining reserve funds. The Receivership Entities also used approximately \$967,000 of company (reserve) account funds to invest in 12 Pensioner contracts. As such, the cash balance as of the receivership appointment was \$526,664.

The Receivership Entities also held (and the Receiver recovered) a \$1.2 (ultimately \$1.1) million loan participation interest in a commercial note (in favor of

the lead lender) secured by a Commercial Deed of Trust over three separate pieces of real property:

- 22.21 acres of raw land in Fort Washington, Maryland;
- 28 single-family lots located in Clinton, Maryland; and
- 32 single-family lots located in Upper Marlboro, Maryland.

Since appointment and through February 26, 2019, the Receiver recovered and took possession of approximately \$5.04 million. The Receiver will also continue to collect Pensioner payments until such time as the Court issues an order hereon, and anticipates collection of up to \$7,000 in March through May 2019.

The table below summarizes the recoveries:

Table 1: Total Revenues from all Sources			
Pensioner Payments			\$2,998,471
	Pensioner Payments	3,049,958	
	Pensioner Payments Rejected	(51,487)	
Loan Principal and Interest Recovery			\$1,133,831
	Loan Principal	1,000,000	
	Loan Interest	124,553	
	Loan Sale Legal Fee Recovery	9,278	
Defendant Judgment Recovery			\$322,108
	Ed Lichtig	282,108	
	Rex Hofelter	40,000	
Unused Retainer Recovery			\$37,116
	Recovery – Bird Marella Law Firm Retainer	9,743	
	Recovery – David Arietta Law Firm Retainer	14,084	
	Recovery – Ramsey Law Firm Retainer	7,472	
	Recovery – GCA Law Partners Retainer	5,817	

Other Recovery			\$549,858
	Cash in Accounts at Takeover	526,664	
	Tax Refund	17,804	
	Interest and Miscellaneous	5,390	
Total Recovery ³			\$5,041,385

D. Receiver Reporting and Communications

Upon her appointment, the Receiver immediately took steps to implement the terms and provisions of the Appointment Order, including sending notices to all known Pensioners, Investors and other vendors of the Receivership Entities. The Receiver initially sent approximately 400 letters to Pensioners and Investors, at their addresses reflected in the books and records of the Receivership Entities, to inform them of the case and her appointment as receiver, and direct them to the Receiver's website for information and updates. The letter also provided the dedicated e-mail address and telephone line for Pensioner and Investor inquiries.

At the beginning of the receivership, the Receiver established a dedicated web page, which has provided case information, updates, and answers to frequently asked questions to investors and creditors. The Internet address for the webpage is <http://www.ethreadvisors.com/cfpb-case-docs>. In addition, the Receiver is maintaining a dedicated e-mail address and telephone line for Pensioner and Investor inquiries and regularly has returned a significant volume of emails and phone calls from Investors and Pensioners.

The Receiver also filed detailed quarterly fee applications and accounting reports that kept investors and the Court advised as to the amount of money recovered, the financial position of the receivership estate, and efforts to recover funds for the benefit of Investor victims.

³ The Receiver anticipates an additional recovery of up to \$7,000 of pensioner payments in March through May 2019.

E. Receivership Accounting

The following summary reflects the Receivership Entities' consolidated operating cash balance as of February 26, 2019:

Table 2: Operating Cash Balance (Summary)			
Total Revenues			\$5,041,385
Disbursements (Non-Administrative)			(\$753,116)
	Income Tax, Asset Search, Bank Fees and Other Miscellaneous	54,588	
	Pensioner Overpayment Return	698,528	
Disbursements (Administrative)			(\$681,524)
	Receiver Legal Fees & Expenses	292,974	
	Receiver Fees & Expenses	388,550	
Interim Distributions (net)			(\$3,303,953)
	Investor Claim Distribution (June 2017)	2,904,158	
	Investor Claim Distribution (February 2018)	439,933	
	Claim Extinguished ⁴	(40,138)	
Cash on Hand as of February 26, 2019			\$302,792

F. Claims and Interim Distribution Process

The total number of contracts reflected in the forensic accounting is 305 (282 third-party contracts, 11 insider contracts, and 12 PF PI contracts), all of which consisted of 286 different pensioners and 183 different investors (179 third parties and four insiders/PF PI). There were several pensioners and investors who entered into multiple contracts.

⁴ After significant effort - efforts which included voiding and reissuing a check and months of attempted contact - an Investor never negotiated two interim distribution checks. Thus, pursuant to the Distribution Plan approved by this Court, after more than 90 days passed and more than reasonable investigation and attempts to get the Investor to negotiate the checks had occurred, the Receiver extinguished the claim associated with the uncashed distributions and will distribute those funds to the other investors with allowed claims as part of the final distribution.

1 The Receiver worked diligently to arrive at a claims process that fairly
2 evaluated the claims and a distribution plan that also fairly distributed funds pursuant
3 to a rising tide methodology. On May 31, 2017, the Court granted the Receiver's
4 motion for approval of the claims process, setting the deadline to submit claims to
5 the Receiver as March 1, 2017. Ultimately, 171 unique third parties who invested a
6 total of \$24,300,450 had claims approved in the claims process. One \$150,000 claim
7 has since been extinguished as noted in the footnote below.

8 In June 2017, the initial \$2.9 million in interim distribution checks were sent
9 and then in February 2018, the \$440,000 second interim distribution was initiated.
10 The Receiver has successfully made a total of \$3.3 million of interim distributions
11 and will be distributing approximately \$74,000 of additional funds in her final
12 distribution. The exact amount of the final distribution will depend on pensioner
13 payments received in March through May, 2019. Investors who successfully
14 negotiated their interim distribution checks will be sent a final distribution.
15 Ultimately, investors with approved claims who successfully negotiated their interim
16 distribution checks will, in total, recover at least 55.875 cents on the dollar and on
17 average about 57.2 cents for each dollar funded.

18 II. CLOSING MATTERS

19 A. Establishment of Reserve, Payment of Administrative Expenses 20 and Proposed Final Distribution

21 1. Establishment of Reserve

22 As reflected in the table below, the Receiver seeks authority to set aside funds
23 in reserve to pay non-administrative expenses in the amount of \$11,170 and
24 projected administrative expenses to conclude the receivership of up to \$40,500, for
25 a total reserve amount of \$51,670.

26 2. Administrative Expenses

27 As also reflected in the table below, the Receiver seeks approval and authority
28 to pay a total of \$176,935 broken down as follows: (1) \$15,613 for final fee

applications of the Receiver and Allen Matkins filed concurrently herewith, and (2) amounts previously held back from interim fee applications in the amount of \$161,322.

3. Proposed Final Distribution

As of February 26, 2019, the Receiver was holding cash in the total amount of \$302,792. Provided the Court approves the \$51,670 of reserve funds (\$11,170 of non-administrative expenses, plus \$40,500 of projected administrative expenses), the payment of administrative fees in the amount of \$176,935 (\$15,613 incurred and not yet paid, plus \$161,322 of holdbacks), approximately \$74,000 will be available for final distribution to approved claimants in accordance with this Court's Claims Order. That said, the Receiver expects that potentially up to \$7,000 more will be available for final distributions depending on March through May 2019 collections.

The breakdown of cash on hand, as well as the interim distributions to be re-issued, the reserve, and administrative expenses, is as follows:

Table 3: Reserve, Administrative Expenses, Final Distribution Summary			
Cash Available for Final Distribution before Reserve and Administrative Costs			\$302,792
	Operating Cash on Hand 02/26/2019	302,792	
Disbursements (Non-Administrative RESERVE)			(11,170)
	Projected Final Tax and Return Preparation Fees	6,000	
	Projected Storage and Document Destruction Fees	170	
	Contingency	5,000	
Disbursements (Administrative RESERVE) – Projected Fees and Expenses			(40,500)
	Projected Receiver Fees and Expenses	28,500	
	Projected Receiver Legal Fees and Expenses	12,000	

Disbursements (Administrative) – Fees and Expenses Incurred, Not Yet Paid			(15,613)
	Receiver Fees Incurred (Q4 2018)	9,864	
	Receiver Legal Fees Incurred (Q4 2018)	5,749	
Disbursements (Administrative) – Holdbacks			(161,322)
	Receiver 20% Holdback from Interim Fee Applications	91,358	
	Receiver Legal 20% Holdback from Interim Fee Applications	69,964	
Projected Minimum Cash Available for Final Distribution ⁵			\$74,187

All approved claimants who successfully negotiated their interim distributions will now receive a revised prorated amount as part of the final distribution. Pursuant to the Distribution Plan, in the event any approved claimants have not negotiated their final distribution check(s) and/or there are funds remaining in the Reserve account after 120 days after issuance of the final distribution has passed, then the Receiver shall pay such funds to the Bureau.

B. Destruction of Records

The Receiver presently stores minimal files and records for the Receivership Entities' operations, personnel files, investor files, and miscellaneous documents, and electronic records. The Receiver has met and conferred with counsel for the Bureau and counsel for the Superintendent, neither of which wishes to take possession of the records or objects to them being destroyed. Continuing to store the records does not benefit the pensioners or investors and involves monthly expense. Accordingly,

⁵ The final distribution could increase up to an additional \$7,000 depending upon March through May 2019 collections.

1 rather than reducing the funds available for distribution by amounts necessary to
2 cover continuing storage costs, the Receiver seeks permission to destroy the records
3 upon entry of the Discharge Order discussed below.

4 **C. Discharge of Receiver**

5 The Receiver has successfully completed all work required under the
6 Appointment Orders. The Receivership Entities' assets were marshalled, protected,
7 and successfully monetized, the accounting was completed, and the claims of
8 investors and others were adjudicated. As such, it is appropriate for the Receiver to
9 ask the Court to enter an order discharging her upon the following conditions:

10 1. The Receiver shall cause the final distribution to approved claimants to
11 be completed in accordance with the Claims Order and Distribution Plan;

12 2. The Receiver shall complete the tasks outlined in this Motion, including
13 the preparation of tax returns, and the payment of actual fees and expenses of the
14 Receiver and her professionals;

15 3. In accordance with the Distribution Plan, following 120 days after the
16 final distribution to claimants, the Receiver shall turn over to the Bureau any balance
17 of funds; and

18 4. Within 180 days after the final distribution to approved claimants has
19 been made, the Receiver shall file a declaration with the Court providing a final
20 accounting regarding use of the Reserve funds, along with an order discharging the
21 Receiver ("Discharge Order"), in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, which
22 Discharge Order may be entered by the Court without further notice or a hearing.

23 **III. ARGUMENT**

24 **A. Broad Equitable Powers of the Court**

25 "The power of a district court to impose a receivership or grant other forms of
26 ancillary relief does not in the first instance depend on a statutory grant of power
27 from the securities laws. Rather, the authority derives from the inherent power of a
28 court of equity to fashion effective relief." *SEC v. Wencke*, 622 F.2d 1363, 1369

1 (9th Cir. 1980). The "primary purpose of equity receiverships is to promote orderly
2 and efficient administration of the estate by the district court for the benefit of
3 creditors." *SEC v. Hardy*, 803 F.2d 1034, 1038 (9th Cir. 1986). As the appointment
4 of a receiver is authorized by the broad equitable powers of the Court, any
5 distribution of assets must also be done equitably and fairly. *See SEC v. Elliot*,
6 953 F.2d 1560, 1569 (11th Cir. 1992).

7 District courts have the broad power of a court of equity to determine the
8 appropriate action in the administration and supervision of an equity receivership.
9 *See SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC*, 397 F.3d 733, 738 (9th Cir. 2005). As the
10 Ninth Circuit explained:

11 A district court's power to supervise an equity receivership
12 and to determine the appropriate action to be taken in the
13 administration of the receivership is extremely broad. The
14 district court has broad powers and wide discretion to
15 determine the appropriate relief in an equity receivership.
16 The basis for this broad deference to the district court's
supervisory role in equity receiverships arises out of the
fact that most receiverships involve multiple parties and
complex transactions. A district court's decision
concerning the supervision of an equitable receivership is
reviewed for abuse of discretion.

17 *Id.* (citations omitted); *see also Commodities Futures Trading Comm'n. v. Topworth*
18 *Int'l, Ltd.*, 205 F.3d 1107, 1115 (9th Cir. 1999) ("This court affords 'broad deference'
19 to the court's supervisory role, and 'we generally uphold reasonable procedures
20 instituted by the district court that serve the[e] purpose' of orderly and efficient
21 administration of the receivership for the benefit of creditors."). Accordingly, the
22 Court has broad equitable powers and discretion in the administration of the
23 receivership estate and disposition of receivership assets.

24 **B. Conclusion of Receivership and Discharge of Receiver**

25 Federal District Courts presiding over federal equity receiverships have broad
26 power and wide discretion in the supervision of the same. *SEC v. Hardy*, 803 F.2d
27 1034, 1037-38 (9th Cir. 1986). Their power and discretion includes the authority to
28 "make rules which are practicable as well as equitable." *Id.* at 1039 (quoting *First*

1 *Empire Bank-New York v. FDIC*, 572 F.2d 1361, 1368 (9th Cir. 1978)). In this case,
2 the Court's supervision of the receivership is guided by these same rules.

3 The Receiver has diligently carried out her Court-ordered duties, including
4 assuming control of the enterprise, marshalling and selling assets, performing a
5 forensic accounting, pursuing claims, providing detailed reports to the Court,
6 conducting a claims and distribution process, and if this Motion and the fee
7 applications filed herewith are granted, ultimately returning approximately \$700,000
8 of Pensioner overpayments and distributing nearly \$3.4 million for a grand total
9 Investor recovery of approximately \$13.8 million, which amounts to at least a
10 55.875% and on average 57.2% recovery on the total funds invested. As there is no
11 further material net benefit to be gained from maintaining this receivership (meaning
12 we are now at the point where monthly collections are not anticipated to exceed
13 administrative expenses), the Receiver requests that she be discharged and the case
14 be closed, effective upon completion of the final closing tasks as described in
15 Section II above.

16 It should also be noted that the Receiver has collected approximately
17 \$1.4 million from Pensioners since October 2016, a sum total of \$100,000 more than
18 anticipated at that time when the Receiver's forensic accounting was completed. The
19 estimated collectability of \$1.3 million at that time was based on the defaulted and
20 bankrupt Pensioner numbers. Ultimately, nearly 30 contracts were affected by
21 Pensioner bankruptcy filings and nearly 50 contracts were defaulted, representing
22 approximately 25% of the 305 Pensioner contracts.

23 Effective upon completion of the closing tasks, the Receiver requests the
24 Discharge Order (attached hereto as Exhibit A) be entered, which order discharges
25 the Receiver and fully releases the Receiver and counsel of: (i) all duties under the
26 Appointment Order, and (ii) any and all claims and liabilities associated with the
27 case and the Defendants. In addition, the Receiver asks the Court to approve and
28 ratify all actions taken by the Receiver and her professionals in the performance of

1 the Receiver's Court-ordered duties. The Receiver further requests the Court retain
2 exclusive jurisdiction over any and all new actions or claims related to the
3 receivership or work done by the Receiver and her professionals to carry out the
4 Receiver's Court-ordered duties. It is necessary and appropriate to issue the
5 requested "conditional" discharge order.

6 **IV. MEET AND CONFER**

7 The Receiver has met and conferred with counsel for the Bureau and counsel
8 for the Superintendent and has been advised the neither the Bureau nor the
9 Superintendent have any opposition to the relief requested herein.

10 **V. CONCLUSION**

11 For the foregoing reasons, the Receiver respectfully requests the Court grant
12 the Motion, authorize the Receiver to take the steps necessary to close the
13 receivership as laid out herein, and issue the proposed Discharge Order upon filing of
14 the Receiver's final declaration providing her final accounting of reserve funds.

15
16 Dated: February 27, 2019

By: 
KRISTA L. FREITAG
Receiver

17
18
19 Dated: February 27, 2019

ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE
MALLORY & NATSIS LLP

By: /s/ Edward Fates
EDWARD G. FATES
Attorneys for Receiver
KRISTA L. FREITAG

EXHIBIT A

1 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE
MALLORY & NATSIS LLP
2 DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334)
TIM C. HSU (BAR NO. 279208)
3 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800
Los Angeles, California 90017-2543
4 Phone: (213) 622-5555
Fax: (213) 620-8816
5 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com
thsu@allenmatkins.com

6 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE
MALLORY & NATSIS LLP
7 EDWARD G. FATES (BAR NO. 227809)
8 One America Plaza
600 West Broadway, 27th Floor
9 San Diego, California 92101-0903
Phone: (619) 233-1155
10 Fax: (619) 233-1158
E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com

11 Attorneys for Receiver
12 KRISTA L. FREITAG

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 SOUTHERN DIVISION

16 Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
and Linda A. Lacewell, Acting
17 Superintendent of the New York State
Department of Financial Services,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 vs.

20 Pension Funding, LLC; Pension Income,
21 LLC; Steven Covey; Edwin Lichtig; and
Rex Hofelter,

22 Defendants.
23

Case No. 8:15-cv-1329

**[PROPOSED] ORDER
DISCHARGING RECEIVER AND
CLOSING RECEIVERSHIP**

Ctrm.: 10A
Judge: Hon. Josephine L. Staton

1 The Court having considered the Declaration of Krista L. Freitag Regarding
2 Accounting of Reserve and Completion of Closing Tasks, and good cause appearing
3 therefor, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

4 1. The Receiver is discharged of all duties under the Order Entering
5 Stipulated Preliminary Injunction, Appointment of a Receiver, and Related Matters
6 (the "Appointment Order") (Dkt. No. 61), and subsequent orders of the Court.
7 Pursuant to the discharge, the Receiver is released from any and all claims and
8 liabilities associated with the receivership, the receivership entities, and the
9 individual defendants named in this action.

10 2. All actions taken by the Receiver and her professionals in performing
11 the Receiver's Court-ordered duties under the Appointment Order, and subsequent
12 orders of the Court are approved and ratified.

13 3. The Receiver is discharged from any further responsibility for payment
14 of liabilities of the Receivership Entities.

15 4. The receivership is closed.

16 5. Jurisdiction over all disputes, claims, and causes of action arising from
17 or relating to this receivership case, is reserved in this Court.

18
19 Dated: _____

Hon. Josephine L. Staton
Judge, United States District Court