5 6 8

4

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Approving Agreement entered between the Court appointed Receiver and Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT regarding certain real property located at 123 Great Bear Road, Volney, New York.

This Motion is based on the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Loren Houchen, the Declaration of Kempe Hayes, and the Declaration of Service, all submitted herewith. In addition to serving all parties of record and persons requesting notice of proceedings, this Motion and other supporting papers are available by contacting counsel for Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT by email at law.caudill@sbcglobal.net or by telephone at (408) 298-4844, or may be reviewed at the Clerk's Office during normal business hours at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

Procedural Requirements: If you oppose this Motion, you are required to file your written Opposition with the Office of the Clerk, United States Court, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, and serve the same on the undersigned not later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the hearing.

IF YOU FAIL TO FILE AND SERVE A WRITTEN OPPOSITION by the above date, the Court may grant the requested relief without further notice.

Requested Relief: The relief requested is set forth in further detail in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities and the Declarations submitted therewith. To summarize, Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT, pursuant to its federal mandate as Liquidating Agent for Telesis, requests an order approving an agreement reached between the Receiver and Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT regarding the real property located at 123 Great Bear Road, Volney, New York 13069 (the "Property") to (a) permit Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT to continue a pending New York Foreclosure Action seeking to foreclose upon the Property, (b) to sell the Property, and (c) to proceed as otherwise permitted under New York law. The Receiver and Movant agree to resolve all issues with respect to the Property in a manner that releases the Property from the Receivership's jurisdiction and Permanent Injunction

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

///

///

issued in this case, and to resolve any and all claims or issues by and between them with respect to the Property.

Telesis Community Credit Union ("TELESIS") was the mortgagee and beneficiary of the Property. In 2009, TELESIS commenced a foreclosure proceeding in Oswego County, New York to foreclose on the Mortgage, which was executed by Copeland Properties Eight, L.P. to TELESIS, dated June 14, 2005 (the "New York Foreclosure Action"). In addition to Copeland Properties Eight, L.P., Copeland Realty, Inc. n/k/a Copeland Wealth Management, a Real Estate Corporation, Bruce Taber, and Owens-Brockway Glass Container, Inc. are also defendants in the New York Foreclosure Action.

In the New York Foreclosure Action, TELESIS moved for and was granted summary judgment. However, the instant Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief As To these Defendants Charles P. Copeland, Copeland Wealth Management, a Financial Advisory Corporation and Copeland Wealth Management, a Real Estate Corporation (the "Injunction" [Dkt. 3]) issued and prevented TELESIS from continuing with the New York Foreclosure Action. Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT was thereafter appointed to liquidate TELESIS.

Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT requests an order approving the agreement between Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT and the Receiver whereby the Receiver abandons any and all right or claim regarding the Property and releasing the Property from the purview of the Injunction so Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT may continue its New York Foreclosure Action, sell the Property, and proceed as otherwise permitted under New York law, provided that no judgment entered in the New York Foreclosure Action may be executed, or subsequent actions taken against, Copeland Properties Eight, L.P., Copeland Wealth Management, a Financial Advisory Corporation, or Copeland Wealth Management, a Real Estate Corporation.

This Motion is made because, among other reasons;

2

4

(1) the Property has no value to the creditors of these instant Defendants Copeland Properties Eight, L.P., Copeland Wealth Management, a Financial Advisory Corporation and Copeland Wealth Management, a Real Estate Corporation, other than to Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT,

5

(2) the Property would only be a burden on the receivership,

7

8

(3) the Receiver agrees with the Movant that if the Receiver were to liquidate the Property, following such liquidation no proceeds would remain for any creditor of these Defendants other than to Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT. A recent appraisal of the Property indicates that the amount due and owing under the loan on the Property far exceeds the value of the Property,

11

12

10

(4) the Property is currently generating no income,

13

(5) if the Receiver retains the Property, the Receiver will incur time and administrative costs and expenses in effectuating any liquidation, and

15

16

17

14

(6) Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT should be permitted to carry out its own statutory federal mandate to pursue credit union assets. Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT represents that it is federally mandated to make distributions and payments to creditors and credit union members [12 U.S.C. 1766(b)(c)(c)] and to make payment of all valid obligations [12 U.S.C. 1787(b)(2)(F)].

19

20

18

WHEREFORE, Movant National Credit Union Administration Board, acting in its capacity as Liquidating Agent for Telesis Community Credit Union, respectfully requests that the Court grant the relief requested herein and such other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

2324

22

25

///

///

///

///

26 ///

27

28

TABLE OF CONTENTS 1 2 Page 3 4 I. 5 II. 6 III. 7 IV. ARGUMENT THE DISTRICT COURT HAS AUTHORITY TO GRANT, AND 8 A. THE MOVANT LIQUIDATING AGENT HAS AUTHORITY TO 9 REQUEST, THE REQUESTED RELIEF 5 10 B. THE RECEIVER AND MOVANT LIQUIDATING AGENT HAVE AGREED THAT ABANDONMENT OF THE PROPERTY ON 11 APPROVAL OF THE COURT IS AN EQUITABLE RESOLUTION.... 7 12 C. MOVANT LIQUIDATING AGENT HAS BROAD AUTHORITY TO PURSUE CREDIT UNION ASSETS 7 13 D. 14 15 V. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES Page CASES SEC v. Hardy SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC 397 F.3d 733 (9th Cir. 2005).... SEC v. American Capital Investments, Inc. 98 F.3d 1133 (9th Cir 1996)..... **STATUTES** 12 U.S.C. § 1766(b)(3)(A-D)..... PROCEDURE RULES

I.

INTRODUCTION

Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT seeks leave of the Court pursuant to Article VIII of a Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief As To Defendants Charles P. Copeland, Copeland Wealth Management, a Financial Advisory Corporation and Copeland Wealth Management, a Real Estate Corporation (the "Injunction") [Dkt. 3]. The Injunction, among other things, appointed Thomas C. Hebrank as permanent equity receiver (the "Receiver") to manage, possess and control the assets of Copeland Wealth Management, a financial Corporation, and Copeland Wealth Management, a Real Estate Corporation, and their subsidiaries and affiliates (the "Receivership Entities"). Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT seeks to continue a foreclosure action seeking to foreclose upon certain realty located at 123 Great Bear Road, Volney, New York 13069 (the "Property"), an asset of Copeland Properties Eight, L.P., in the above-captioned action.

Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT requests an order approving the agreement between Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT and the Receiver whereby the Receiver abandons any and all right or claim regarding the Property and releasing the Property from the purview of the Injunction so Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT may continue its New York Foreclosure Action, sell the Property, and proceed as otherwise permitted under New York law provided no further action is taken against the Receivership Entities. The Receiver consents to Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT's application and has entered into an agreement with Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT to abandon the Property.

This Motion is made because the Property has no value to these Defendants' creditors, other than Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT, and would only be a burden on the receivership. The Receiver agrees with the Movant that if the Receiver were to liquidate the Property, following such liquidation no proceeds would remain for any creditor of the Defendants (other than Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT). A recent

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

appraisal of the Property indicates that the amount due and owing under the loan on the Property far exceeds the value of the Property. Moreover, the Property is currently generating no income. If the Receiver retains the Property, the Receiver will only incur time and administrative costs and expenses in effectuating any liquidation. Furthermore, Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT should be permitted to carry out its own federal mandate to pursue credit union assets.

II.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT respectfully requests that the Court approve the agreement between the Receiver and Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT to (a) abandon the Property and (b) release it from the Injunction so Movant LIQUIDAT-ING AGENT may exercise its rights to continue the New York Foreclosure Action, sell the Property, and to proceed as otherwise permitted under New York law, provided no further action is taken against the Receivership Entities following sale of the Property.

III.

BACKGROUND

The National Credit Union Administration Board is the Liquidating Agent of Telesis. Declaration of Kempe Hayes, submitted herewith, at ¶¶ 1-3 (hereinafter, "Hayes Decl."). Telesis was the lender/beneficiary under a Mortgage, Assignment of Rents And Security Agreement ("Mortgage") to the Property. Declaration of Loren Houchen, submitted herewith at ¶¶ 3-10 (hereinafter "Houchen Decl.").

In 2009, Telesis commenced a foreclosure proceeding in Oswego County, New York to foreclose on the Mortgage, which was executed by a Defendant in that action, Copeland Properties Eight, L.P. (hereinafter "COPELAND EIGHT") to Telesis dated

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING AGREEMENT WITH RECEIVER

Case No.: 2:11-cv-08607-R-DTB

Page 2

24

25

26

27

28

¹ The Receiver and counsel for the Receiver have reviewed the appraisal of the Property pursuant to a confidentiality agreement between the Receiver and the Movant. The Receiver is satisfied with the appraised value. Counsel will bring a true and correct copy of said appraisal to the hearing on this Motion and upon request it will be provided to the Court for review, preferably in camera.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

June 14, 2005. The matter is captioned Telesis Community Credit Union v. Copeland 1 Properties Eight, L.P., et al., Index No. 09-1988, State of New York, County of 2 Oswego (the "New York Foreclosure Action"). Copeland Realty, Inc. n/k/a Copeland 3 Wealth Management, A Real Estate Corporation, Bruce Taber ("TABER"), and Owens-Brockway Glass Container, Inc. are also defendants in the New York Foreclosure Action. Houchen Decl. Ex. A. The underlying Promissory Note ("Note") and 6 7 Mortgage are attached as Exs. B and C to the Amended Summons & Complaint in the New York Foreclosure Action. Houchen Decl. Ex. A.

In addition to the Note and Mortgage, on or about June 14, 2005, TABER made and delivered to Telesis, as further inducement for lending to COPELAND EIGHT, an Unconditional Guaranty personally guaranteeing payment of the Note. Houchen Decl. \P 8. The Guaranty is attached as $\underline{Ex. D}$ to the Amended Summons & Complaint in the New York Foreclosure Action. Houchen Decl. Ex. A.

On or about April 18, 2011, Telesis moved for an order seeking summary judgment, which motion was unopposed except by the guarantor, TABER. TABER asserted that pursuant to New York's Civil Practice and Procedure Rule 3212(f), facts essential to oppose the motion were in the summary judgment movant Telesis' possession and could be obtained by discovery. Houchen Dec. ¶¶ 11-12.

Telesis' motion for summary judgment was granted on the condition that TABER would be allowed to conduct limited discovery to locate facts to oppose the motion. The order granting summary judgment was entered on August 2, 2011. Houchen Decl. Ex. B. The parties engaged in the discovery provided for in the Order, and on October 21, 2011 TABER's counsel informed the court that he would not move to re-open the court's finding of summary judgment. Houchen Decl. ¶ 13.

Counsel for Telesis was thereafter informed of the Injunction in the abovecaptioned matter, which had been filed on October 19, 2011 [Dkt. 3]. Because of the Injunction, at Article VIII, which enjoins a wide array of actions by creditors, Telesis informed the court in the New York Foreclosure Action that, while it is Telesis' desire

and intention to complete the action, Telesis was unable to proceed. The New York Foreclosure Action has been stayed since that point in time.

3

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

On March 23, 2012, the California Department of Financial Institutions placed Telesis into conservatorship and immediately appointed Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT as conservator. Houchen Decl. Ex. C. On June 1, 2012, the California Department of Financial Institutions placed Telesis into liquidation and appointed the National Credit Union Administration Board as Liquidating Agent.

The Receiver and Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT agree that the value of the Property is well below the indebtedness on the Note and liquidation by the Receiver would not yield any proceeds for these Defendants' creditors other than for Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT. The Receiver and Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT also agree that the Property should be excised from the receivership and released from the Injunction provided Movant takes no further action against the Receivership Entities following sale of the Property. Moreover, Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT should be permitted to carry out its own federal mandate to pursue credit union assets. Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT is federally mandated to make distributions and payments to creditors and credit union members and to make payment of all valid obligations. Continuance of the New York Foreclosure Action is in furtherance of the discharge of Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT's duties. If the Property is not abandoned by the Receiver and released from the Injunction, Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT will be unable to perform its mandated liquidating function.

Therefore, the Court should approve the agreement between Movant LIQUI-DATING AGENT and the Receiver to abandon the Property and release it from the Injunction such that Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT may exercise its rights to continue the New York Foreclosure Action, to sell the Property, and to proceed as otherwise permitted under New York law. After sale of the Property, Movant will take no further action against the Receivership Entities. The Receiver and Movant

agree, subject to Court approval, to resolve all issues with respect to the Property through this Motion.

IV.

ARGUMENT

A. The District Court has Authority to Grant, and the Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT has Authority to Request, the Requested Relief

District courts have broad powers and wide discretion to determine the appropriate relief in an equity receivership. SEC v. Lincoln Thrift Ass'n, 577 F.2d 600 (9th Cir. 1978). The power to supervise an equity receivership and to determine the appropriate action to be taken in the administration of the receivership is extremely broad. SEC v. Hardy, 803 F.2d 1034, 1037 (9th Cir. 1986). A district court's decision concerning the supervision of an equitable receivership is reviewed for abuse of discretion. SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC, 397 F.3d 733 (9th Cir. 2005). Encompassed within the district court's broad powers is the power to order a sale of property. SEC v. American Capital Investments, Inc., 98 F.3d 1133 (9th Cir. 1996).

Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT, as a creditor of COPELAND EIGHT, may seek leave of the Court under Article VIII of the Injunction. Article VIII states:

that, except by leave of this Court, during the pendency of this receivership, all clients, investors, trust beneficiaries, note holders, creditors, claimants, lessors, and all other persons or entities seeking relief of any kind, in law or in equity, from Defendants CWM and Copeland Realty or their subsidiaries or affiliates, and all persons acting on behalf of any such investor, trust beneficiary, note holder, creditor, claimant, lessor, consultant group, or other person, including sheriffs, marshals, servants, agents, employees, and attorneys, are hereby restrained

1

and enjoined from, directly or indirectly, with respect to these persons and entities:

- (a) commencing, prosecuting, continuing or enforcing any suit or proceeding (other than actions by the Commission) against any of them;
- (b) using self-help or executing or issuing or causing the execution or issuance of any court attachment, subpoena, replevin, execution or other process for the purpose of impounding or taking possession of or interfering with or creating or enforcing a lien upon any property or property interests owned by or in the possession of Defendants CWM and Copeland Realty; and
- control, possession or management by the permanent receiver appointed hereunder of the property and assets owned, controlled or managed by or in the possession of Defendants CWM and Copeland Realty, or in any way to interfere with or harass the permanent receiver or his or her attorneys, accountants, employees, or agents or to interfere in any manner with the discharge of the permanent receiver's duties and responsibilities hereunder. (emphasis added).

Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief [Dkt. 3].

Article VIII of the Injunction therefore expressly permits creditors to seek leave of the Court for the relief requested herein.

| ///

B. The Receiver and Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT Have Agreed that Abandonment of the Property on Approval of the Court is an Equitable Resolution

Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT and Receiver agree that abandonment of the Property by the Receiver and release from the Injunction is in the best interest of the receivership and Defendants' creditors. Liquidation of the Property by the Receiver would not result in any proceeds for creditors, other than for Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT. If the Receiver were to proceed with liquidation it would incur time and administrative costs, with no benefit to creditors.

Therefore, Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT respectfully requests that the Court approve Movant's and the Receiver's agreement (a) that the Receiver abandon the Property, and (b) to release the Property from the Injunction so that Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT may continue the New York Foreclosure Action, sell the Property, and proceed as otherwise permitted by New York law with the agreement that Movant will take no further action against the Receivership Entities following sale of the Property.

C. Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT has Broad Authority to Pursue Credit Union Assets

In addition to the agreement between Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT and the Receiver that the Property should be abandoned and released from the Injunction, Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT should be permitted to carry out its federal mandate to pursue credit union assets. Specifically, Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT is federally mandated to make distributions and payments to creditors and credit union members [12 U.S.C. 1766(b)(c)(c)] and to make payment of all valid obligations [12 U.S.C. 1787(b)(2)(F)].

Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT is the independent federal agency created by the United States Congress to regulate, charter and supervise federal credit unions. Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT has the power to pursue the assets of Telesis here.

The structure and duties of Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT are determined by the Federal Credit Union Act, which is the source of authority for all federally chartered credit unions and which governs the coverage and terms of insured accounts at all federally insured credit unions. The Federal Credit Union Act is codified at 12 U.S.C. §§ 1751 et seq.

The Federal Credit Union Act, at §1766 affords wide powers to Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT, including, among others, the power to prescribe rules and regulations for the administration of the Federal Credit Union Act, and the power to suspend or revoke the charter of any Federal credit union, or place the same in involuntary liquidation. 12 U.S.C. §1766(b)(1).

Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT, as the liquidator, has broad power and authority, including the rights to:

- (A) to receive and take possession of the books, records, assets, and property of every description of the Federal credit union in liquidation, to sell, enforce collection of, and liquidate all such assets and property, to compound all bad or doubtful debts, and to sue in its own name or in the name of the Federal credit union in liquidation, and defend such actions as may be brought against it as liquidating agent or against the Federal credit union;
- (B) to receive, examine, and pass upon all claims against the Federal credit union in liquidation, including claims of members on member accounts;
- (c) to make distribution and payment to creditors and members as their interests may appear; and
- (D) to execute such documents and papers and to do such other acts and things which it may deem necessary or desirable to discharge its duties hereunder. [12 U.S.C. §1766(b)(3)(A-D)]

discharge of the duties of Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT under federal law. If the Property is not abandoned by the Receiver and released from the Injunction, Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT will be unable to perform its federally mandated liquidating function. Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT has been delayed in performing its liquidating function with respect to the asset in the New York Foreclosure Action by the Injunction. Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT submits that it should be permitted to exercise its rights as Liquidating Agent to carry out its directives as authorized by the Federal Credit Union Act.

Continuance of the New York Foreclosure Action is in furtherance of the

Fulfilling its mandate under federal law will not affect nor prejudice the SEC receivership in the above-captioned matter. There is no reasonable prospect that there will be any surplus available for the Receiver. Moreover, this motion does not seek permission to enforce any claim against the Copeland Defendants in the SEC matter. Therefore, the agreement between Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT and the Receiver to abandon the Property and release it from the Injunction should be approved. Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT may then exercise its rights to sell the Property at issue in the New York Foreclosure Action and to proceed as otherwise permitted under New York law, subject to the agreement that Movant will take no further action against the Receivership Entities following sale of the Property.

D. MEET AND CONFER

Pursuant to United States District Court, Central District of California, Local Rule 7-3, Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT has conferred on multiple occasions with counsel for the SEC Receiver. Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT understands that the Receiver consents to the instant Motion.

V.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Movant LIQUIDATING AGENT for Telesis, respectfully requests that the Court (a) approve the agreement between the Movant LIQUI-

Case 2 11-cv-08607-R-DTB Document 243 Filed 04/29/13 Page 13 of 13 Page ID #:4591

28

Tom Hebrank

From:

Fates, Ted <tfates@allenmatkins.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, November 27, 2012 3:12 PM

To:

Tom Hebrank

Subject:

OneWest

I take it you have not heard anything from John Devereux at OneWest? I assume you would have told me if you had, but just checking before I follow up with Gary McKitterick.

Ted Fates

Senior Counsel
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP
501 West Broadway, 15th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101-3541
(619) 235-1527 (direct)
(619) 233-1155 (main)
(619) 233-1158 (fax)

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, please be advised that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used or relied upon, and cannot be used or relied upon, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this electronic e-mail and any accompanying attachment(s) is intended only for the use of the intended recipient and may be confidential and/or privileged. If any reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail, and delete the original message and all copies from your system. Thank you.