1 2 3	Everett G. Barry, Jr. (SBN 053119) Patrick L. Prindle (SBN 87516) John H. Stephens (SBN 82971) MULVANEY BARRY BEATTY LINN & MAYERS LLP 401 West A Street, 17th Floor San Diego, CA 92101-7994	
4	Telephone: 619-238-1010 Facsimile: 619-238-1981	
5	Attorneys for Thomas C. Hebrank, Permanent Receiver	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	WESTERN DIVISION - LOS ANGELES	
11	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	CASE NO. 2:11-cv-08607-R-DTB
12	Plaintiff,	REPLY TO SUPPLEMENTS TO OBJECTIONS TO RECEIVER'S FEE
13	v.	APPLICATION DATED FEBRUARY 21, 2012
14	CHARLES P. COPELAND, COPELAND WEALTH	DATE: April 2, 2012
15	MANAGEMENT, A FINANCIAL ADVISORY CORPORATION, AND	TIME: 10:00 a.m. DEPT. 8, 2nd Floor
16 17	COPELAND WEALTH MANAGEMENT, A REAL ESTATE CORPORATION,	Judge: Honorable Manuel L. Real
18	Defendant.	
19		
20		
21	On March 22, 2012, FLAGSTAR BANK, NSB (hereafter, "FLAGSTAR") filed a	
22	"SUPPLEMENT TO OBJECTION OF FLAGSTAR BANK, FSB TO THE RECEIVER'S	
23	FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL AND PAYMENT OF FEES AND	
24	COSTS" (Dkt. No. 59). In essence, FLAGSTAR withdrew its previous objection,	
25	stating, "Flagstar Bank FSB has no objection to payment of the fees and costs	
26	requested by the Receiver." (Dkt. No. 59, Page ID #:1018).	
27	On March 27, 2012, certain limited partners of CP-10 (hereafter, "CP-10	
28	PARTNERS") filed a "SUPPLEMENT	TO OBJECTION OF CERTAIN LIMITED

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PARTNERS OF COPELAND PROPERTIES TEN TO THE FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL AND PAYMENT OF FEES AND COSTS OF RECEIVER." (Dkt. No. 60). CP-10 PARTNERS request, "... if the Court grant (sic) the Fee Application, any such order limit the Receiver's ability to pay itself or professionals to funds from Copeland Wealth Management, and not funds from CP-10, and further that the Receiver may not commingle funds of CP-10 with funds of Copeland Wealth Management." (Dkt. No. 60, Page ID #:1022-1023). Unlike FLAGSTAR, rather than withdrawing its previous objection CP-10 PARTNERS seek to expand their objection to all future Fee Applications. As is further explained below, this is improper. objection of CP-10 PARTNERS (as supplemented) should be denied and the First Interim Application approved.

First, apparently CP-10 PARTNERS has not reviewed the Court's ORDER APPROVING RECEIVER'S RESPONSE TO ORDER ON RECEIVER'S APPLICATION AND REPORT, filed March 12, 2012. (Dkt. No. 53). Had it done so, CP10 PARTNERS would know that Copeland Properties Ten, L.P. is included in the receivership. Like it or not, Copeland Properties Ten, L.P. is part of the receivership estate, subject to liability for payment of the Receiver's approved fees and costs. While the apparent failure to recognize that fact at the time its objection was filed on March 12, 2012 (Dkt. No. 49) might be excused (the Court's Order determining that the receivership estate included Copeland Properties Ten, L.P. was filed that same day), its failure to currently acknowledge the Court's Order should not be countenanced. The objection of CP-10 PARTNERS should be denied.

Furthermore, in its "Supplement To Objection", CP-10 PARTNERS requests that the Court expand its order on the pending First Interim Application to apply to all future applications (Dkt. No. 60, Page ID #:1022-1023). Future applications are simply not before the Court at this time. The request to expand the decision in the pending First Interim Application to all future applications must also be denied.

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORN TELEPHONE 619 FACSIMILE 6192

Based upon the foregoing Reply To Supplements To Objections filed by FLAGSTAR and CP-10 PARTNERS, as well as the record and all pleadings and documents previously filed herein and any argument or evidence the Court may consider at the hearing on this matter, it is respectfully requested that the objections filed by FLAGSTAR and CP-10 PARTNERS be denied and the First Interim Application approved.

DATED:

March 27, 2012

MULVANEY BARRY BEATTY LINN & MAYERS LLP

By: /s/ Patrick L. Prindle
Patrick L. Prindle
Attorneys for Thomas C. Hebrank

HEBCO.125.308463.1

MIRAU, EDWARDS, CANNON, LEWIN & TOOKE A Professional Corporation WILLIAM P. TOOKE, SBN#155398 1806 Orange Tree Lane, Suite C Mailing Address: P.O. Box 9058 Redlands, California 92375 (909) 793-0200; Facsimile: (909) 793-0790 Email: wtooke@mechlaw.com 2 3 Email: wtooke@mechlaw.com 5

Attorneys for Third-Party Objectors, Robert Allen; Elayne Allen; Vellore Muraligopal; Vellore Muraligopal, Trustee of the Muraligopal Living Trust; Myron and Ruby Cinque, Trustees of the Cinque Family Trust; Rick and Blanche Higdon, Trustees of the Higdon Revocable Trust; Klaus Kuehn; Lynda Kuehn; Richard Paul Blanford; Glenn Goodwin, Trustee of the Glenn Goodwin Trust; and James Powell

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **WESTERN DIVISION - LOS ANGELES**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, Plaintiff, -

CHARLES P. COPELAND, COPELAND WEALTH MANAGEMENT, A FINANCIAL ADVISORY CORPORATION, and COPELAND WEALTH MANAGEMENT, A REAL ESTATE CORPORATION,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 11-08607-R-DTB

SUPPLEMENT TO OBJECTION OF CERTAIN LIMITED PARTNERS OF COPELAND PROPERTIES TEN TO THE FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL AND PAYMENT OF FEES AND COSTS OF RECEIVER

Date: April 2, 2012 Time: 10:00 a.m. Ctrm: 8, 2nd Floor Judge: Hon. Manuel L. Real

This brief is made on behalf of certain limited partners (the "CP-10 Partners") comprising 88.38 percent of the ownership of Copeland Properties Ten ("CP-10") and is intended to supplement the CP-10 Partners' previously filed objection (Docket No. 49) to the First Interim Application For Approval and Payment of Fees and Costs of Receiver Thomas C. Hebrank filed on or about

28

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

//

//

//

//

//

24

25

26 27

28

February 23, 2012 and set for hearing on April 2, 2012 (Docket No. 40) (the "Fee Application").

The CP-10 Partners' objection stated, in pertinent part:

"It appears, therefore that the Receiver intends to pay his fees from monies available from the few solvent partnerships, which includes CP-10. That action would do exactly the harm the Receiver is purporting to act to remedy: The use of monies from one or more of the Copeland Properties for the benefit of others."

Objection, page 2, lines 18-22.

A reply by the Receiver was filed with the Court on March 19, 2012 (although not served on CP-10 or its counsel), which responded to the CP-10 Partners' objection as follows:

> "the fees and costs described in the First Interim Application will not be paid with funds from either CP-10. but entirely by funds from Copeland Wealth Management, A Real Estate Corporation."

Receiver's Reply, (Docket No. 58), page 4, lines 4-6.

In light of the Receiver's statement as quoted above, the CP-10 Partners request that, if the Court grant the Fee Application, any such order limit the Receiver's ability to pay itself or professionals to funds from Copeland Wealth Management, and not funds from CP-10, and further that the Receiver may not

-2-

DATED: March 27, 2012

commingle funds of CP-10 with funds of Copeland Wealth Management.

MIRAU, EDWARDS, CANNON, LEWIN & TOOKE, a Professional Corporation

William P. Tooke
Attorneys for Third-Party Objectors,
Robert Allen; Elayne Allen; Vellore
Muraligopal; Vellore Muraligopal, Trustee
of the Muraligopal Living Trust; Myron
and Ruby Cinque, Trustees of the Cinque
Family Trust; Rick and Blanche Higdon,
Trustees of the Higdon Revocable Trust;
Klaus Kuehn; Lynda Kuehn; Richard Paul
Blanford; Glenn Goodwin, Trustee of the
Glenn Goodwin Trust; and James Powell

[PROPOSED] ORDER PROHIBITING RECEIVER FROM USING CP-10 FUNDS TO PAY COSTS AND FEES

Case 2 11-cv-08607-R-DTB Document 61 Filed 03/27/12 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:1025

To the extent that the Receiver is permitted by this Court to pay itself or professionals that it hires, the source of said payment shall not be funds from Copeland Properties Ten ("CP-10") or from any income that CP-10 generates and further the Receiver may not commingle funds of CP-10 with funds of Copeland Wealth Management or any other entity under the instant Receivership.

DATED: April ____, 2012

HON. MANUEL L. REAL
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT

-2-