ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 1 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com 5 EDWARD G. FATES (BAR NO. 227809) One America Plaza 6 600 West Broadway, 27th Floor San Diego, California 92101-0903 Phone: (619) 233-1155 Fax: (619) 233-1158 8 E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com 9 Attorneys for Receiver 10 THOMÁS C. HEBRANK 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE Case No. 3:12-cv-02164-GPC-JMA COMMISSION. 15 RECEIVER'S NOTICE OF MOTION Plaintiff, AND MOTION FOR ORDER 16 DIRECTING GLEN OAKS ESCROW 17 TO RELEASE DEPOSIT FROM V. CANCELLED SALE OF FREETRADE PROPERTY TO LOUIS V. SCHOOLER and FIRST 18 FINANCIAL PLANNING RECEIVER CORPORATION d/b/a WESTERN 19 FINANCIAL PLANNING Date: May 19, 2017 CORPORATION. 1:30 p.m. 20 Time: Ctrm.: 2D Defendants. Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel Judge: 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

12cv02164

LAW OFFICES

853649.01/SD

### TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 1 2 **NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that on May 19, 2017, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 2D of the United States District Court, Southern District of California, located at 221 West Broadway, San Diego, California 92101, Thomas C. Hebrank 4 ("Receiver"), the Court-appointed receiver for First Financial Planning Corporation 5 d/b/a Western Financial Planning Corporation ("Western"), its subsidiaries and the 6 General Partnerships listed in Schedule 1 to the Preliminary Injunction Order 7 8 entered on March 13, 2013 (collectively, "Receivership Entities"), will, and hereby does, move this Court for an order directing Glen Oaks Escrow to release deposit 10 from cancelled sale of Freetrade Property to Receiver ("Motion"). 11 This Motion is based upon this notice, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declaration of Thomas C. Hebrank, all pleadings and 12 13 papers on file in this action, and upon such other matters as may be presented to the Court at the time of hearing. 14 **Procedural Requirements:** If you oppose the Motion, you are required to 15 file your written opposition with the Office of the Clerk, United States District 16 17

file your written opposition with the Office of the Clerk, United States District Court, Southern District of California, 333 West Broadway, Suite 420, San Diego, California 92101, and serve the same on the undersigned no later than 14 calendar days prior to the hearing date. An opposing party's failure to file an opposition to any motion may be construed as consent to the granting of the motion pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.1(f)(3)(c).

22

23

21

18

19

20

Dated: April 6, 2017 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP

24

25

26

27

28

By: /s/ Edward Fates

EDWARD G. FATES Attorneys for Receiver THOMAS C. HEBRANK

1	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP				
2	865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800				
3	DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816				
4	E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com				
5	EDWARD G. FATES (BAR NO. 2278	09)			
6	One America Plaza				
7	600 West Broadway, 27th Floor San Diego, California 92101-0903 Phone: (619) 233-1155				
8	Fax: (619) 233-1158 E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com				
9	Attorneys for Receiver THOMAS C. HEBRANK				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13					
14	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No. 3:12-cv-2164-GPC-JMA			
15	COMMISSION,	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF			
16	Plaintiff,	MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING GLEN OAKS ESCROW TO			
17	v. LOUIS V. SCHOOLER and FIRST	RELEASE DEPOSIT FROM CANCELLED SALE OF			
18	FINANCIAL PLANNING	FREETRADE PROPERTY TO RECEIVER			
19	CORPORATION d/b/a WESTERN FINANCIAL PLANNING CORPORATION,				
20	Defendants.	Date: May 19, 2017 Time: 1:30 p.m. Ctrm.: 2D			
21	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel			
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP					

12cv2164 853560.01/SD

Thomas C. Hebrank ("Receiver"), the Court-appointed receiver for First Financial Planning Corporation d/b/a Western Financial Planning Corporation ("Western"), its subsidiaries and the General Partnerships listed in Schedule 1 to the Preliminary Injunction Order entered on March 13, 2013 (collectively, "Receivership Entities"), submits this motion for an order directing Glen Oaks Escrow to release the \$5,000 earnest money deposit from the cancelled sale of the property owned by Freetrade/Suntec/Via 188 to the Receiver ("Motion"). I. **BACKGROUND FACTS** In January 2017, Gerardo Aceves ("Aceves") made an offer to purchase the property located in the Tecate area of San Diego County owned by Freetrade Partners, Suntec Partners, and Via 188 Partners ("Property"). Dkt. No. 1446-2, Exhibit A. The Receiver and Mr. Aceves negotiated terms and signed a Vacant Land Purchase Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, including an Addendum to Land Purchase Agreement and Seller Counter Offer #1 ("Purchase Agreement"). *Id*. As required by the Purchase Agreement, Aceves deposited \$5,000 into escrow. Declaration of Thomas Hebrank filed herewith ("Hebrank Decl."), ¶ 2. On March 3, 2017, Aceves removed all contingencies associated with the sale. Hebrank Decl., ¶ 3, Exh. A. In doing so, Aceves surrendered his right to recover his deposit unless the Court did not approve the sale. *Id.* Accordingly, the Receiver incurred expenses to have his counsel prepare a motion seeking approval of the sale, which was filed on March 10, 2017. Dkt. No. 1446. The Receiver also paid to have the required notices published in the San Diego Union Tribune pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2001 and the Modified Orderly Sale Process. On March 17, 2017, Aceves cancelled the sale for reasons unrelated to the property or Court approval of the sale. Hebrank Decl., ¶ 3. Accordingly, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Receiver is entitled to recover the deposit for the benefit of the receivership estate. The Receiver has

requested that the escrow company, Glen Oaks Escrow ("Glen Oaks"), release the

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

853560.01/SD

\$5,000 earnest money deposit placed into escrow by Aceves. Glen Oaks has responded that it cannot do so unless Aceves consents to the release or the Court issues an order directing it to do so. Mr. Aceves has not consented to the release and is no longer responding to communications from the Receiver's office. Hebrank Decl., ¶ 4.

#### II. ARGUMENT

"The power of a district court to impose a receivership or grant other forms of ancillary relief does not in the first instance depend on a statutory grant of power from the securities laws. Rather, the authority derives from the inherent power of a court of equity to fashion effective relief." *SEC v. Wencke*, 622 F.2d 1363, 1369 (9th Cir. 1980). The "primary purpose of equity receiverships is to promote orderly and efficient administration of the estate by the district court for the benefit of creditors." *SEC v. Hardy*, 803 F.2d 1034, 1038 (9th Cir 1986). As the appointment of a receiver is authorized by the broad equitable powers of the court, any distribution of assets must also be done equitably and fairly. *See SEC v. Elliot*, 953 F.2d 1560, 1569 (11th Cir. 1992).

District courts have the broad power of a court of equity to determine the appropriate action in the administration and supervision of an equity receivership. *See SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC*, 397 F.3d 733, 738 (9th Cir. 2005). The Ninth Circuit explained:

A district court's power to supervise an equity receivership and to determine the appropriate action to be taken in the administration of the receivership is extremely broad. The district court has broad powers and wide discretion to determine the appropriate relief in an equity receivership. The basis for this broad deference to the district court's supervisory role in equity receiverships arises out of the fact that most receiverships involve multiple parties and complex transactions. A district court's decision concerning the supervision of an equitable receivership is reviewed for abuse of discretion.

*Id.* (citations omitted); *see also CFTC v. Topworth Int'l, Ltd.*, 205 F.3d 1107, 1115 (9th Cir. 1999) ("This court affords 'broad deference' to the court's supervisory role, and 'we generally uphold reasonable procedures instituted by the district court that

LAW OFFICES
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

serve th[e] purpose' of orderly and efficient administration of the receivership for 1 2 the benefit of creditors."). Accordingly, the Court has broad discretion in approving procedures for the sale of receivership estate assets. 3 Here, Aceves removed all contingencies in connection with the sale on 4 March 3, 2017, and, in doing so, surrendered his right to recover the deposit unless 5 the Court did not approve the sale. Then, after the Receiver prepared and filed his 6 motion for approval of the sale and paid for publication of the required newspaper 7 8 notices (with the receivership estate incurring the costs associated therewith), Aceves cancelled the Purchase Agreement. Accordingly, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Aceves has surrendered his right to the deposit, which should be paid to 10 the Receiver, and Glen Oaks should be directed to release the deposit to the 11 Receiver, net of broker and escrow cancellation fees (which are estimated to be 12 under \$1,000 combined). 13 14 **Notice to Aceves.** A copy of this motion has been mailed to Aceves at his home address (the only direct contact information for Aceves the Receiver has). A 15 copy has also been mailed and emailed to Aceves' broker. Hebrank Decl., ¶ 5. 16 17 **Form of Order.** Glen Oaks has requested that the following language be included in the order: "Glen Oaks Escrow is to release the deposit held in file 18 022912-BH back to the seller." Accordingly, the Receiver requests that the Court 19 20 enter the proposed order submitted herewith, or alternatively, include the above 21 language in its own form of order. 22 III. **CONCLUSION** 23 Based on the foregoing, the Receiver requests an order directing Glen Oaks to 24 release the deposit to the Receiver. 25 Dated: April 6, 2017 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 26 /s/ Edward Fates By: 27 EDWARD G. FATES Attorneys for Receiver 28 THOMÁS C. HEBRANK

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com  EDWARD G. FATES (BAR NO. 22780 One America Plaza 600 West Broadway, 27th Floor San Diego, California 92101-0903 Phone: (619) 233-1155 Fax: (619) 233-1158 E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com  Attorneys for Receiver THOMAS C. HEBRANK	
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
ECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No. 3:12-cv-2164-GPC-JMA
	DECLARATION OF THOMAS C.
Plaintiff,	HEBRANK IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING
V.	GLEN OAKS ESCROW TO RELEASE DEPOSIT FROM
OUIS V. SCHOOLER and FIRST	CANCELLED SALE OF FREETRADE PROPERTY TO
INANCIAL PLANNING	RECEIVER
CORPORATION,	Date: May 19, 2017 Time: 1:30 p.m. Ctrm.: 2D
Defendants.	Ctrm.: 2D Judge: Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel
EEE AT	One America Plaza 500 West Broadway, 27th Floor San Diego, California 92101-0903 Phone: (619) 233-1155 Fax: (619) 233-1158 E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com Attorneys for Receiver THOMAS C. HEBRANK  UNITED STATES  SOUTHERN DISTRI  ECURITIES AND EXCHANGE OMMISSION,  Plaintiff,  v.  OUIS V. SCHOOLER and FIRST

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble

Mallory & Natsis LLP

I, Thomas C. Hebrank, declare:

853632 01/SD

1. I am the Court-appointed receiver for First Financial Planning Corporation d/b/a Western Financial Planning Corporation ("Western"), its subsidiaries, and the General Partnerships listed on Schedule 1 to the Preliminary Injunction Order entered on March 13, 2013 (collectively, "Receivership Entities"). I make this declaration in support of my Motion for Order Directing Glen Oaks Escrow to Release Deposit from Cancelled Sale of Freetrade Property to Receiver. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and if called upon to do so, I could and would personally and competently testify to them.

- 2. In January 2017, Gerardo Aceves ("Aceves") made an offer to purchase the property located in the Tecate area of San Diego County owned by Freetrade Partners, Suntec Partners, and Via 188 Partners ("Property"). Mr. Aceves and I negotiated terms and signed a Vacant Land Purchase Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, including an Addendum to Land Purchase Agreement and Seller Counter Offer #1 ("Purchase Agreement"). As required by the Purchase Agreement, Aceves deposited \$5,000 into escrow.
- 3. On March 3, 2017, Aceves removed all contingencies associated with the sale. A true and correct copy of his Contingency Removal is attached hereto as Exhibit A. In doing so, Aceves surrendered his right to recover his deposit unless the Court did not approve the sale. Accordingly, I had my counsel prepare a motion seeking approval of the sale, which was filed on March 10, 2017. The receivership estate also paid to have the required notices published in the San Diego Union Tribune pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2001 and the Modified Orderly Sale Process. On March 17, 2017, Aceves cancelled the sale for reasons unrelated to the property or Court approval of the sale.
- 4. Accordingly, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, I am entitled to recover the deposit for the benefit of the receivership estate. I have requested the escrow company, Glen Oaks Escrow ("Glen Oaks"), release the \$5,000 earnest

money deposit placed into escrow by Aceves. Glen Oaks has responded that it cannot do so unless Aceves consents to the release or the Court issues an order directing it to do so. Mr. Aceves has not consented to the release and is no longer responding to communications from my office. 5 5. My counsel will mail a copy of this motion to Aceves at his home address (the only direct contact information for Aceves that we have) and will also send a copy to Aceves' broker by mail and email. 8 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the 9 foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 5th day of April 2017, at San Diego, California. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit A	Contingency Removal	5

## **EXHIBIT A**

## **EXHIBIT A**

# CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® CONTINGENCY REMOVAL No. One (1) (C.A.R. Form CR, Revised, 6/16)

In accordance with the terms and conditions of the: Residential Purchase Agreement (C.A.R. For (C.A.R. Form RR), Response And Reply To Request For Repair (C.A.R. Form RRRR) or Other	Vacant Land Purchase				
Agreement (VLPA)	("Agreement"),				
dated <u>01/17/2017</u> , on property known as <u>APN's 652-110-06,09,10 &amp; 11-00, Tecate,</u> between <u>Gerardo Aceves</u>	415				
between Gerardo Aceves and Thomas C. Hebrank, Receiver	("Buyer") ("Seller").				
I. BUYER REMOVAL OF BUYER CONTINGENCIES:	( Seller ).				
1. With respect to any contingency and cancellation right that Buyer removes, unless other agreement between Buyer and Seller, Buyer shall conclusively be deemed to have: (i) correview of reports and other applicable information and disclosures; (ii) elected to proceed with all liability, responsibility and, expense, if any, for Repairs, corrections, or for the inability to disclosures is prohibited by law.	npleted all Buyer Investigations and th the transaction; and (iii) assumed				
Buyer removes those contingencies specified below.					
A. ONLY the following individually checked Buyer contingencies are removed:  1. Loan (Paragraph 3J) 2. Appraisal (Paragraph 3I) 3. Buyer's Physical Inspection (Paragraph 12) 4. All Buyer Investigations other than a physical inspection (Paragraph 12) 5. Condominium/Planned Development (HOA or OA) Disclosures (Paragraph 10F) 6. Reports/Disclosures (Paragraphs 7 and 10) 7. Title: Preliminary Report (Paragraph 13) 8. Sale of Buyer's Property (Paragraph 4B) 9. Review of documentation for leased or liened items (Paragraph 8B(5)					
10. Other:					
11. Other: OR B. ALL Buyer contingencies are removed, EXCEPT: Loan Contingency (Paragr	aph 3J); Appraisal Contingency				
(Paragraph 3I); Contingency for the Sale of Buyer's Property (Paragraph 4B); Condominium/Planned Development (HOA) Disclosures (Paragraph 10F); Other  OR C.  BUYER HEREBY REMOVES ANY AND ALL BUYER CONTINGENCIES.					
3. Once all contingencies are removed, whether or not Buyer has satisfied him/herself regarding all contingencies or received any information relating to those contingencies, Buyer may not be entitled to a return of Buyer's deposit if Buyer does not close escrow. This could happen even if, for example, Buyer does not approve of some aspect of the Property or lender does not approve Buyer's loan.					
NOTE: Paragraph numbers refer to the California Residential Purchase Agreement (C.A.R. Fo numbers for each contingency or contractual action in other C.A.R. contracts are found in Contraction.  CPM).  Gerardo Tvan Kim-  AM GMT	rm RPA-CA). Applicable paragraph act Paragraph Matrix (C.A.R. Form				
Buyer Freapso Gerardo Aceves	Date				
Buyer	Date				
II. SELLER REMOVAL OF SELLER CONTINGENCIES: Seller hereby removes the Finding of replacement property (C.A.R. Form SPRP); Closing on replacement Other	following Seller contingencies: property (C.A.R. Form SPRP)				
Seller Thomas C. Hebrank, Receiver	Date 3/7//7				
	<b>5</b> /				
Seller	Date				
(/) (Initials) <b>CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT:</b> A copy of this signed Contingency by Buyer Seller or authorized agent on (date), at					
© 2003-2016, California Association of REALTORS®, Inc. THIS FORM HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®. NO REPRESENTATION OR ACCURACY OF ANY PROVISION IN ANY SPECIFIC TRANSACTION. A REAL ESTATE BROKER IS THE PERSON TRANSACTIONS. IF YOU DESIRE LEGAL OR TAX ADVICE, CONSULT AN APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL.	N IS MADE AS TO THE LEGAL VALIDITY QUALIFIED TO ADVISE ON REAL ESTATE				
Published and Distributed by: REAL ESTATE BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. a subsidiary of the California Association of REALTORS®  525 South Virgil Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90020  Reviewed by					
CR REVISED 6/16 (PAGE 1 OF 1)  CONTINGENCY REMOVAL (CR PAGE 1 OF 1)	EQUAL HOUSING OPPORTUNITY				
Real Blue Properties, 2956 Roosevelt Street Suite 1 Carlsbad, CA 92008  Gerald Busch  Phone: (619)292-1020  Produced with zipForm® by zipLogix 18070 Fifteen Mile Road, Fraser, Michigan 48026  www.zipLogix	Fax: Tecate - Freetrade				