ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 1 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) 2 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-254 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 3 4 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com 5 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 6 EDWARD G. FATES (BAR NO. 227809) 7 One America Plaza 600 West Broadway, 27th Floor 8 San Diego, California 92101-0903 Phone: (619) 233-1155 Fax: (619) 233-1158 9 E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com 10 Attorneys for Receiver 11 THOMAS C. HEBRANK 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE Case No. 3:12-cv-02164-GPC-JMA COMMISSION. 16 **RECEIVER'S TWENTY-FIRST** Plaintiff, 17 INTERIM REPORT V. 18 LOUIS V. SCHOOLER and FIRST Ctrm.: 19 FINANCIAL PLANNING Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel Judge: CORPORATION d/b/a WESTERN 20 FINANCIAL PLANNING CORPORATION, 21 Defendants. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

LAW OFFICES
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

Thomas C. Hebrank ("Receiver"), Court-appointed receiver for First Financial Planning Corporation d/b/a Western Financial Planning Corporation ("Western"), its subsidiaries and the General Partnerships listed on Schedule 1 to the Preliminary Injunction Order entered on March 13, 2013 (collectively, "Receivership Entities"), submits this Twenty-First Interim Report, which focuses on the Receiver's activities during the third quarter of 2017.

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Receiver continued his work to preserve and protect the assets of the Receivership Entities, which during the third quarter focused on implementing the Court's May 25, 2016 order (Dkt. No. 1304), which granted in part and denied in part the Receiver's orderly sale/distribution plan motion (Dkt. No. 1181), including marketing and selling receivership estate properties pursuant to the Court-approved Modified Orderly Sale Procedures. The Receiver also continued to address other business and legal issues unique to certain GPs. In addition, the Receiver prepared his Twentieth Interim Report, which was filed on August 9, 2017. Dkt. No. 1505.

II. SUMMARY OF RECEIVER'S ACTIVITIES

The Receiver's primary focus since his appointment has been on preserving and protecting the Receivership Entities' assets. These activities fall into the following general categories:

A. Business Operations

The Receiver continued to operate the Receivership Entities, process receipts and disbursements, and address issues regarding GP properties, letters of intent from potential purchasers, and related issues.

B. Asset Recoveries

The Receiver has investigated and pursued recoveries from various assets, including the following:

Notes Payable from LinMar Entities. The Receiver has continued efforts to enforce the judgments entered by the Court against LinMar Management,

LinMar III, and LinMar IV. The Receiver has taken various steps to enforce the judgments, including levying on bank accounts (from which \$10,252 was collected 2 towards the LinMar Management judgment), obtaining the appointment of a post-3 judgment receiver over the LinMar III property, and negotiating a judgment payoff 4 5 of \$205,000 for the LinMar IV judgment with the new owner of LinMar IV. During the third quarter of 2017, the Receiver continued to monitor the activities and 6

Specific Property Issues C.

progress of the LinMar III post-judgment receiver.

The Receiver has addressed the following issues that have arisen pertaining to GP properties:

Tecate. The Receiver received a letter from the United States Army Corps of Engineers stating its desire to purchase easements on two of the seven Tecate properties (Vista Tecate and International). The easement would be for the border patrol to use certain paths/trails on the properties, which are very close to the United States/Mexico border. The amounts offered for the easements are very modest. The Receiver has been in communication with the United States Army Corps of Engineers, which is gathering more information about the proposed easements to ascertain whether there is truly a public need for the proposed easements and whether the amounts offered are reasonable. The Receiver continues to wait for an update from the Army Corps of Engineers.

LV Kade. The Receiver received a letter from the City of North Las Vegas stating its intention to exercise its eminent domain power over a small portion of the LV Kade property for the construction of a storm drain system. The Receiver communicated with the City to gather more information about the intended storm drain construction, scope of the project, appraisal process, timing, and related issues. In November 2016, an appraiser visited the property to perform an evaluation for the City. The City then offered to pay \$4,700 for the easement. The Receiver reviewed the report and provided additional information to the City, which led to an improved

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

offer of \$5,300. After consulting with the engineer hired to perform an engineering analysis of the easement's impact, the Receiver accepted the improved offer. The Receiver signed the easement agreement, which is currently going through the City approval and recording process. As the easement will help extend City services to the property, its placement enhances the value of the property.

Property Tax Appeals. In 2013, as directed by the Court, the Receiver obtained an appraisal of each GP property for purposes of inclusion in his Real Estate Valuation Report. Dkt. No. 203. In 2015, as directed by the Court, the Receiver obtained an updated appraisal for GP properties that could afford one and broker opinions of value for other GP properties. Based on the appraised values of GP properties and the assessed values of such properties in property tax statements, the Receiver appealed the property tax assessments for certain GPs. Those appeals have generated a savings to the GPs of approximately \$88,000, net of fees owed to the property tax consultants, who worked entirely on contingency. The reductions in assessed values reduced the annual and ongoing property tax obligations of the receivership estate.

The Stead Property. As discussed in prior reports, there were two tenants living rent-free in a duplex on the Stead property. Upon learning of the tenants, the Receiver contacted them and advised they would need to pay rent. One tenant left shortly thereafter. The remaining tenant was paying rent; however, the rent was not received timely and consistently. After various attempts to resolve disputes with the tenant, including the tenant demanding improvements to the property, violating the lease and subletting the vacant portion of the property to a third party, and generally failing to timely pay rent, the lease was terminated. The tenant vacated the property and the Receiver worked with a local broker to secure the property and post notrespassing signs.

The Court-approved broker for the property recommended some clean-up efforts, which were substantial in scope. These efforts include removal of over

861358 01/SD

1,000 used tires, removal of various abandoned automobiles throughout the property and general clean-up of the items remaining in both the home and garage. The cost of this work totaled \$11,666. The Receiver and the broker negotiated a short-term contract for the broker's property management work. Because of the clean-up costs and questions raised by a potential buyer (who later determined not to pursue a purchase of the property), the Receiver is seeking additional environmental reports about the condition of the property to aid in an eventual sale.

Taxes and Mortgages. The Receiver negotiated with various taxing authorities, special assessment districts, and mortgage holders regarding reductions in taxes, loan balances, late charges, penalties, default interest, and similar amounts owed that previously accrued because of GPs that ran out of cash. The Receiver has negotiated reductions of approximately \$57,500 in principal balances due on loans, some of which had become due or were in arrears due to those respective partnerships' inability to pay. In many instances, the Receiver was also able to convince the noteholders to waive past due penalties and interest charges.

Additionally, the Receiver was able to successfully negotiate with the Washoe County Special Assessment District to obtain a waiver of \$184,317.60 in special assessment district bond penalties for the Washoe 3 property. Previously, the Washoe 3 partnerships had been unable to raise the funds necessary to timely pay the assessments. Penalties on these bonds were significant in that they could more than double the amount due after only a short period of time. After significant efforts by the Receiver and his counsel, the Washoe County District Attorney worked with the related Assessment District to provide substantial relief.

The Receiver was also able to resolve the dispute regarding the payoff amount for the mortgages in favor of Tower 98, LLC on the Santa Fe property. The Receiver had sought authority to bring an action to quiet title to the property, which authority was granted. Dkt. Nos. 1454, 1485. The discussions regarding the payoff amount were quite lengthy as Tower 98 was challenging to work with, but

ultimately, the Receiver and Tower 98 agreed on a discounted payoff amount, the payment was made, and reconveyance documents clearing the liens from title to the property were recorded.

D. <u>Closed and Pending Property Sales</u>

The following is a summary of closed and pending sales of GP properties:

- The Court approved the Receiver's motion for approval of the sale of the Jamul Valley property on August 30, 2016. Dkt. No. 1361. The Graham Investors then appealed the sale order (along with several other orders). Dkt. No. 1363. As a result, TNC could not obtain a title insurance policy and the sale could not close until the appeal was resolved. In March 2017, the Graham Investors voluntarily dismissed their appeal as to all orders. Dkt. No. 1453. Accordingly, the Receiver and TNC worked toward closing the sale, which occurred on August 4, 2017.
 - The Receiver's motion for approval of the sale of the Reno Vista and Reno View properties was approved on August 30, 2016. Dkt. No. 1360. It was then discovered that the properties were encumbered by four deeds of trust dating back to 1980 and 1981: (a) two in favor of Tierra Del Mar Corporation, an entity held in a family trust set up by Defendant Louis Schooler's parents prior to their deaths, (b) one in favor of Schooler's parents directly, and (c) one in favor of EBS Land Company, an affiliated entity controlled by Schooler. The Receiver has successfully removed the Tierra Del Mar liens through the probate trustee appointed over the family trust and the Schooler parents' direct lien through a petition to the Nevada state court. The Receiver also filed a motion with this Court for an order confirming EBS Land as an affiliate of the Receivership Entities, and therefore within the scope of the receivership, so the title company would accept the release/reconveyance of the EBS Land lien executed by the Receiver. Dkt. No. 1472. On August 4, 2017, the Court appointed the

LAW OFFICES
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

- 1 2 3
- 4

5

6 7

8

- 9 10
- 11
- 1213
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 1920
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 2425
- 26
- 27
- 28

861358 01/SD

- Receiver as Elisor to reconvey the EBS Land lien such that the sale could close. Dkt. No. 1502. The closing occured on September 1, 2017.
- On January 17, 2017, the Receiver filed a motion for approval of the sale of the Honey Springs property. Dkt. No. 1430. The sale was approved on March 20, 2017 (Dkt. No. 1449) and closed on March 30, 2017.
- On February 27, 2017, the Receiver filed a motion for approval of the sale of the Reno Partners property. Dkt. No. 1443. The sale was approved on April 12, 2017 (Dkt. No. 1463) and closed on April 28, 2017.
- On May 4, 2017, the Receiver filed a motion for approval of the sale of the Valley Vista Partners property. Dkt. No. 1475. The sale was approved on June 14, 2017 (Dkt. No. 1486) and closed on June 30, 2017.
- On May 25, 2017, the Receiver filed a motion for approval of the sale of the Bratton View property. Dkt. No. 1480. During the overbid period, the Receiver received a qualified overbid, which, after the original buyer declined to bid further, became the winning bid. The motion to approve the sale to the overbidder was granted on August 4, 2017, and the sale closed on August 21, 2017.
- On August 18, 2017, the Receiver filed a motion for approval of the sale of the LV Kade property. Dkt. No. 1506. The sale was approved on September 6, 2017 (Dkt. No. 1511) and is expected to close in December 2017.
- On August 25, 2017, the Receiver filed a motion for approval of the sale of the Silver Springs North property. Dkt. No. 1508. During the title review process, a lien for a transaction prior to any of the receivership entities was found to be clouding title. The escrow officer was able to make contact with the owner of that lien, but was unable to get them to submit the paperwork necessary to remove the lien. The Receiver, through counsel, reached out to the buyer and was able to secure a reconveyance of

-6-

- 1 2
- 4

5

3

- 6 7
- 8 9
- 10 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

- the lien. The sale was approved on September 20, 2017 (Dkt. No. 1520), and closed on October 31, 2017.
- On September 8, 2017, the Receiver filed a motion for approval of the sale of the Park Vegas property. Dkt. No. 1513. The sale was approved on October 19, 2017 (Dkt. No. 1536) and closed on November 7, 2017.
- On September 13, 2017, the Receiver filed a motion for approval of the sale of the Washoe V property. Dkt. No. 1515. The sale was approved on October 19, 2017 (Dkt. No. 1537) and is expected to close in December 2017
- On September 28, 2017, the Receiver filed a motion for approval of the sale of the Silver State property. Dkt. No. 1527. The sale was approved on October 30, 2017 (Dkt. No. 1543) and is expected to close shortly.
- The Receiver has received offers, notified investors of the same, negotiated with the prospective purchasers, and entered into purchase and sale agreements for the following properties, subject to overbid and Court approval: (a) Dayton I, (b) Dayton IV, and (c) Fernley I. The prospective purchasers for Dayton I and Fernley I are currently conducting their due diligence. If and when they remove their contingencies for the sales, the Receiver will file motions seeking approval of the proposed sales, subject to overbid. The prospective purchaser for Dayton IV removed his contingencies on November 7, 2017 and a motion for approval of the sale will be filed shortly.

E. **Pending Offers and Negotiations**

The Receiver has recently received offers for two other properties, while other previously noticed offers have been withdrawn. The newly received offers are consistent with the previously received valuations for the applicable properties. Consistent with the Modified Orderly Sale Process, the Receiver has notified investors of the offers and is continuing to negotiate with the potential buyers.

III. RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a summary of the receipts and disbursements for the consolidated receivership accounts during the third quarter of 2017. As noted above, pursuant to the Court's May 25, 2016 Order (Dkt. No. 1304), the cash balances in GP accounts and Western accounts were pooled together in one account. Receipts during the period comprised of proceeds from the sales of receivership properties and bank interest earned on the account balance. Notable expenses during the period include:

- Mortgage payments of \$11,712.
- Court-approved fees and expenses of the Receiver and the Receiver's counsel of \$283,587.
- Property taxes and special assessment district bond payments of \$85,007.

Attached as Exhibit B is the Standardized Fund Accounting Report for the third quarter of 2017.

IV. INVESTOR COMMUNICATIONS

The Receiver has continued to update his website, www.ethreeadvisors.com, with orders entered by the Court, Receiver reports, and briefs filed by the parties that pertain directly to the receivership. The Receiver and his staff have also responded to numerous inquiries from investors and other interested parties about the receivership and have updated the Case Updates section of the Receiver's website to address common questions and themes in correspondence from investors. The Receiver has provided answers to Frequently Asked Questions to address common investor questions and misconceptions about the receivership. As in the past, the Receiver had to respond to numerous e-mails and calls from investors with concerns. Investors are again reminded of the importance of informing the Receiver of any change in their e-mail address or physical address.

861358 01/SD

Starting with January 2017, the Receiver has provided monthly email updates to all investors. The emails provide investors with updates on new developments in the receivership, including sales of properties, mailing of K-1 tax statements, and related issues. Each case update reminds investors to provide updated contact information if their contact information changes. Investors are able to sign up to receive these updates on the Receiver's website or to "unsubscribe" from receiving the updates if they choose.

V. INVESTOR CLAIMS PROCESS

The Receiver worked with tax accountant Duffy Kruspodin, LLP ("Duffy") to prepare and send investor notices regarding the approved claims process and the Receiver's calculation of their claims. Letters to most claimants were sent out by August 1, 2017, while letters to certain former employees/sales agents and other related persons were sent out by August 15, 2017. Pursuant to the approved procedures, investors (including former employees/sales agents) had 30 days from receipt of the notice to respond in writing with any dispute concerning the calculation of their claim, stating the nature of the dispute and provide documentation supporting their position as to the calculation of their claim amount. The Receiver reviewed and considered all such disputes, of which there were only 21, and attempted to resolve disputes with the applicable investors. At the conclusion of that process, only six disputed claims remained. The Receiver filed his motion asking the Court to resolve the claim disputes and approve his proposed allowed amounts of all claims on November 2, 2017. Dkt. No. 1545. The motion is set to be heard on December 15, 2017.

VI. PROPOSED CLOSURE OF GENERAL PARTNERSHIPS

The Receiver believes that continuing to incur costs to prepare and file tax returns for the 86 GPs and related entities, as well as to prepare and send K-1s to the approximately 3,400 investors is an unnecessary drain on the receivership estate, considering that the Court has approved the pooling of all receivership estate assets

1 for distribution to investors on a *pro rata* basis. Accordingly, in connection with his motion seeking approval of the proposed allowed amounts of claims, the Receiver 2 has requested authority to transfer GP properties to Western, prepare final tax 3 returns and K-1s, and close the GPs. Dkt. No. 1545. If approved, all properties and 4 5 other receivership estate assets will fall under the Qualified Settlement Fund tax return prepared for the estate as a whole. As properties are sold pursuant to the 6 Modified Orderly Sale Process, the sale proceeds will be deposited into the pooled account for future distribution. This relief, if approved, will save the receivership 9 estate over \$100,000 annually in tax preparation fees. VII. RECOMMENDATIONS 10 11 The Receiver recommends the receivership over Western and the other Receivership Entities continue pursuant to the Court's orders and the Receivership 12 13 Entities' assets continue to be preserved and protected until properties have been sold and distributions have been made pursuant to the Court-approved distribution 14 plan and the Receiver provides his final report and accounting. 15 16 VIII. CONCLUSION Based on the foregoing, the Receiver requests approval of this Twenty-First 17 Interim Report and his recommendations discussed above. 18 19 Dated: November 8, 2017 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 20 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 21 By: /s/ Edward Fates 22 EDWARD G. FATES Attorneys for Receiver 23 THOMÁS C. HEBRANK 24 25 26 27 28

LAW OFFICES
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

EXHIBIT INDEX

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
Exhibit A	Summary of Receipts and Disbursements for Consolidated Receivership Accounts During Third Quarter of 2017	13
Exhibit B	Standardized Fund Accounting Report	15

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Q3 2017 TOTAL
Beginning Balance - WFP Receivership	415,570	576,073	38,454	38,454
Beginning Balance - Money Market	250,543	250,586	1,250,656	1,250,656
Total	666,113 -	826,659 -	1,289,109	1,289,109
Ordinary Income/Expense				
Income		4 000 000		4 000 000
Transfer to Money Market Account 36000 · Interest - Money Market	43	1,000,000 70	206	1,000,000 318
37000 - Interest - Moriey Market	1,017	-	200	1,017
47900 ·Sales - WFPC Property	37,926	-	-	37,926
48000 · Sales - Partnership Property	216,468	761,915	66,555	1,044,938
63300 · Insurance Expense - Refund	-	-	-	4.000
67000 · Property Tax - Refund 2430 Yuma 3 (Yuma APN 202-09-007) - Loan Payoff Refund	1,226		-	1,226
2422 Yuma 3 (Yuma APN 202-49-005) - Loan Payoff Refund	_	_	_	-
69000 · WFP-Partnership Capital Contribution - Credit	- consequent -		-	
Total Income	256,679	1,761,985	66,760	2,085,425
Total Receivership Income	256,636	761,915 -	66,555	1,085,107
Expense		107220	12/0/07	
60000 · Marketing of Property Sales	2 22	1,073	580	1,653
61700 · Computer and Internet Expenses	45	45	45	135
63300 · Insurance Expense 63400 · Interest on Mortgages	- 541	6,189 259	248	6,189 1,049
63450 - Mortgage Payoff Fees	541	259	240	1,045
63451 - Mortgage Late Penalties	=	_	-	-
63460 · Loan Write Off - Paid in Full		-	-	-
63500 · Maintenance	-	2,500		2,500
65000 · Postage & Shipping Fees	*	2,972	-	2,972
65500 · Printing Services	=	-	-	-
66500 · LLC Filing Fees	1,250	-	475	1,725
66650 · Legal Fees	-	133,858	-	133,858
66700 · Professional Fees	720	149,729	15	720
66750 · Receiver Fees 66800 · Tax Preparation Services		149,729	1.5	149,729
66830 - Permit Fees	611	Ti di	-	611
67000 · Property Tax	52,784	2	122	52,906
67050 - Property Tax Special Assessment	32,102	2 1		32,102
67500 · Storage	671	673	1,027	2,371
68000 · Taxes - Federal and State	<u>~</u>	=	12	
68600 · Utilities	182		F	182
69000 · WFP-Partnership Capital Contribution Refunds	-	-	12	12
69500 · WFP - Note Payment Partnership	₩	1 000 000		-
Transfer to Money Market Account Total Expense	88,907	1,000,000 1,297,298	2,509	388,713
Western Loans	00,907	1,291,290	2,303	300,713
2422 Yuma 3 (Yuma APN 202-49-005)	_	_	1-	_
2423 Yuma 3		-		
2427 Yuma 3	-	-	-	-
2428 Yuma 3	₩.	-		-
2429 Yuma 3	=	-	1100	() =
2430 Yuma 3 (Yuma APN 202-09-007)	₩ m	≅		25
2431 Yuma 3		5	12.50	
2435 Dayton 4 (APN 04-291-18)	2		1.5	
2436 Dayton 4 (Storey APN 04-291-18) 2437 Dayton 4 (Storey APN 04-291-18)		5		1.5
2438 Dayton 4 (Storey APN 16-021-20)		<u> </u>	17	150 721
2440 Dayton 4 (Lyon APN 16-021-20)	<u> </u>	2	112	988 9 2 3
2444 Dayton 4 (WFPC Owned) (Lyon APN 16-021-28)	<u> </u>	4	-	
2446 Dayton 4 (WFPC Owned) (Lyon APN 16-021-28)		<u>-</u>	-	12
Total Western Loans				
SFV II Loans				19 191
Washoe 5	2,226	2,237	2,248	6,712
Total SFV II Loans	2,226	2,237	2,248	6,712
Santa Fe Venture LLC Loans				
1 · Santa Fe	-	=	i se	-
2 · Santa Fe - Tract 2, 3, 4 (Tower 98 Loan - Tract 2, 3, 4)	5,000	-	_	5,000
Total Santa Fe Venture LLC Loans	5,000		- 00:0	5,000
Total Long Term Liabilities	7,226	2,237	2,248	11,712
Total Liabilities Net Ordinary Income	96,133 160,504	1,299,535 (537,620)	4,757 61,798	(315,318)
Net Income	160,504	(537,620)	61,798	(315,318)
INC. INCOME.	100,004	(501,020)	71,170	(010,010)
Ending Balance - WFP Receivership	576,073	38,454	100,251	100,251
Ending Balance - Money Market	250,586	1,250,656	1,250,861	1,250,861
Total Ending Balance	826,659 -	1,289,109 -	1,351,113	1,351,113

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B

Thomas C. Hebrank, Receiver E3 Advisors 401 West A Street, Suite 1830 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 567-7223

STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT

CIVIL - RECEIVERSHIP FUND

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, Plaintiff,

v.

LOUIS V. SCHOOLER and FIRST FINANCIAL PLANNING CORPORATION d/b/a WESTERN FINANCIAL PLANNING CORPORATION,

Case No. 3:12-cv-02164-GPC-JMA

REPORTING PERIOD 07/01/2017 TO 09/30/2017

STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT for Western Financial Planning - Cash Basis Receivership; Case No. 3:12-cv-02164-GPC-JMA Reporting Period 07/01/2017 to 09/30/2017

7	INTING (See instructions):	Detail	Subtotal	Grand Total
Line 1	Beginning Balance (As of 07/01/17):	782,107		666,113
	Increases in Fund Balance:			
Line 2	Business Income	=		-
Line 3	Cash and Securities	=		-
Line 4	Interest/Dividend Income	125		318
Line 5	Business Asset Liquidation	269,944		1,082,86
Line 6	Personal Asset Liquidation	-		-
Line 7	Third-Party Litigation Income			-
Line 8	Misc - Insurance & Prop Tax Refunds Total Funds Available (Lines 1 - 8):	1,052,176		2,243 1,751,533
	Total Fullus Available (Lines 1 - 0):	1,032,176	en e	1,731,33
	Decreases in Fund Balance:			
Line 9	Disbursements to Investors	F.		-
Line 10	Disbursements to Receivership Operations			
	Disbursement to Receiver or Other Professionals	(89,527)		(284,30
	Business Asset Expenses	(296,536)		(116,11
	Personal Asset Expenses Investment Expenses			1 -
	Third-Party Litigation Expenses	_		
20 200	1. Attorney Fees	-		
	2. Litigation Expenses	-		
	Total Third-Party Litigation Expenses	-		-
line 10f	Tax Administrator Fees and Bonds			_
	Federal and State Tax Payments			_
	Total Disbursements for Receivership Operations			(400,42
Line 11	Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by	the Fund:		
Line 11a	Distribution Plan Development Expenses:			
	1. Fees:			111
	Fund Administrator	-		_
	Independent Distribution Consultant (IDC) Distribution Agent			
	Consultants			
	Legal Advisors	-		
	Tax Advisors	-		
	2. Administrative Expenses	-		-
	3. Miscellaneous	=		
	Total Plan Developmental Expenses			-
Line 11b	Distribution Plan Implementation Expenses:			
	1. Fees: Fund Administrator			
	IDC			
	Distribution Agent			_
	Consultants	-		_
	Legal Advisors	-		
	Tax Advisors			-
	2. Administrative Expenses	9		-
	3. Investor Identification:			-
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan	-		-1
	Claimant Identification	-		-
	Claims Processing	H		-
	Web Site Maintenance/Call Center	-		=
	4. Fund Administrator Bond	-		-
	Miscellaneous Federal Account for Investor Restitution	-		1
	(FAIR) Reports Expenses			
	Total Plan Implementation Expenses			-
	Total Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Pa	id by the Fund		-
Line 12	Disbursements to Court/Other:			
Line 12a	Investment Expenses/Court Registry Investment			
line 17h	System (CRIS) Fees Federal Tax Payments	5		
LINE 12D	Total Disbursement to Court/Other:			
	Total Funds Disbursed (Lines 9 - 11):			(400,42
	Ending Balance (As of 09/30/2017):		- Committee the Committee of the Committ	1,351,11

STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT for Western Financial Planning - Cash Basis Receivership; Case No. 3:12-cv-02164-GPC-JMA Reporting Period 07/01/2017 to 09/30/2017

Line 14	Ending Balance of Fund - Net Assets:		
Line 14a	Cash & Cash Equivalents	1,351,113	
Line 14b	Investments	-	
Line 14c	Other Assets or Uncleared Funds	-	
	Total Ending Balance of Fund - Net Assets	1,351,113	

		Detail	Subtotal	Grand Total
	Report of Items NOT to be Paid by the Fund:	A	Water State of State	
ine 15	Disbursement for Plan Administration Expenses Not F	Paid by the Fund:		
	Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:	did by the runar		
Line 150	1. Fees:			
	Fund Administrator	-		
	IDC	- 1		
	Distribution Agent	_		_
	Consultants			
	Legal Advisors			
	Tax Advisors			
	2. Administrative Expenses			-
	3. Miscellaneous	-		-
	Total Plan Developmental Expenses Not Paid by the F	und		<u> </u>
	Total Flair Developmental Expenses Not Fala by the F	unu		
Line 15b	Plan Implementation Expenses Not Paid by the Fund			
	1. Fees:			
	Fund Administrator	-		-
	IDC	-		-
	Distribution Agent	_		-
	Consultants	-		-
	Legal Advisors	-		-
	Tax Advisors	-		-
	2. Administrative Expenses	_		_
	3. Investor Identification			
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan	_		_
	Claimant Identification	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_
	Claims Processing	_		_
	Web Site Maintenance/Call Center	_		_
	4. Fund Administrator Bond	_		1
	5. Miscellaneous			
	6. FAIR Reporting Expenses			
	Total Plan Implementation Expenses Not Paid by the	Fund		
Line 15c	Tax Administrator Fees & Bonds Not Paid by the Fund	Tunu		
200	Total Disbursements for Plan Administration Expense	s Not Paid by the Fu	nd	_
ine 16	Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund:			
Line 16a	Investment Expenses/CRIS Fees	-		-
Line 16b	Federal Tax Payments	-		-
	Total Disbursement to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fu	nd:		
ine 17	DC & State Tax Payments	-		
ine 18	No. of Claims:			
	# of Claims Received This Reporting Period			
	# of Claims Received Since Inception of Fund			
ine 19	No. of Claimants/Investors:	•••••		
Line 19				
Line 190	# of Claimants/Investors Paid this Reporting Period # of Claimants/Investors Paid Since Inception of Fund			

eiver	Momas	C) Lebran
5,	Thomas C. Hebrank	
	Court-Appointed Receive	er

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the 2 age of eighteen (18) and am not a party to this action. My business address is 501 West Broadway, 15th Floor, San Diego, California 92101-3541. 3 On November 8, 2017, I served the within document(s) described as: 4 RECEIVER'S TWENTY-FIRST INTERIM REPORT 5 on interested parties in this action by: 6 BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING ("NEF"): the foregoing document(s) will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On November 8, 2017, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following person(s) are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addressed indicated below: 7 8 9 10 Gary J. Aguirre - gary@aguirrelawapc.com; maria@aguirrelawapc.com 11 John Willis Berry - berryi@sec.gov; LAROFiling@sec.gov Lynn M. Dean - deanl@sec.gov; longoa@sec.gov; larofiling@sec.gov; berryj@sec.gov; irwinma@sec.gov; cavallones@sec.gov 12 13 Timothy P. Dillon - tdillon@dghmalaw.com; kramirez@dghmalaw.com: sahuja@dghmalaw.com 14 Philip H. Dyson - phildysonlaw@gmail.com; ildossegger2@yahoo.com; phdtravel@yahoo.com 15 Edward G. Fates - tfates@allenmatkins.com: 16 berfilings@allenmatkins.com; jholman@allenmatkins.com Dennis Frisman - gary@aguirrelawapc.com 17 Eric Hougen - eric@hougenlaw.com 18 Sara D. Kalin - kalins@sec.gov; chattoop@sec.gov; irwinma@sec.gov 19 E. Andrew Schooler - andyschooler@att.net Carol Elizabeth Schultze - schultzec@sec.gov; masseym@sec.gov; 20 caroleschultze@gmail.com; clarket@sec.gov 21 Bryan Charles Vess - annamforsberg@gmail.com David R. Zaro - dzaro@allenmatkins.com; mdiaz@allenmatkins.com 22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the 23 foregoing is true and correct. 24 Executed on November 8, 2017, at San Diego, California. 25 Edward G. Fates /s/ Edward Fates 26 (Signature of Declarant) (Type or print name) 27 28

LAW OFFICES
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP