ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 1 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 4 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com 5 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 6 EDWARD G. FATES (BAR NO. 227809) One America Plaza 600 West Broadway, 27th Floor San Diego, California 92101-0903 Phone: (619) 233-1155 Fax: (619) 233-1158 E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com 10 Attorneys for Receiver THOMÁS C. HEBRANK 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE Case No. 3:12-cv-02164-GPC-JMA 15 COMMISSION, NOTICE OF MOTION AND 16 Plaintiff, MOTION FOR AMENDED ORDER AUTHORIZING AND RATIFYING 17 TRANSFERS OF GENERAL V. PARTNERSHIP PROPERTIES 18 LOUIS V. SCHOOLER and FIRST FINANCIAL PLANNING February 9, 2018 19 Date: CORPORATION d/b/a WESTERN 1:30 p.m. Time: FINANCIAL PLANNING 20 Ctrm.: 2D CORPORATION, Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel Judge: 21 Defendants. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on February 9, 2018, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 2D of the United States District Court, Southern District of California, located at 221 West Broadway, San Diego, California 92101, Thomas C. Hebrank ("Receiver"), the Court-appointed receiver for First Financial Planning Corporation d/b/a Western Financial Planning Corporation ("Western"), its subsidiaries and the General Partnerships listed in Schedule 1 to the Preliminary Injunction Order entered on March 13, 2013 (collectively, "Receivership Entities"), will, and hereby does, move this Court for an amended order authorizing and ratifying the transfer of General Partnership properties ("Motion").

This Motion is based upon this notice, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declaration of Thomas C. Hebrank, all pleadings and papers on file in this action, and upon such other matters as may be presented to the Court at the time of hearing.

Procedural Requirements: If you oppose the Motion, you are required to file your written opposition with the Office of the Clerk, United States District Court, Southern District of California, 333 West Broadway, Suite 420, San Diego, California 92101, and serve the same on the undersigned no later than 14 calendar days prior to the hearing date. An opposing party's failure to file an opposition to any motion may be construed as consent to the granting of the motion pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.1(f)(3)(c).

22

23

21

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Dated: January 5, 2018 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE

24

25

26

27

28

MALLORY & NATSIS LLP

By: /s/ Edward Fates EDWARD G. FATES Attorneys for Receiver THOMÁS C. HEBRANK

ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 1 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) 2 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 3 4 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com 5 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 6 EDWARD G. FATES (BAR NO. 227809) One America Plaza 7 600 West Broadway, 27th Floor San Diego, California 92101-0903 8 Phone: (619) 233-1155 Fax: (619) 233-1158 9 E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com 10 Attorneys for Receiver THOMÁS C. HEBRANK 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE Case No. 3:12-cv-2164-GPC-JMA 15 COMMISSION, MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND 16 Plaintiff, AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR AMENDED ORDER 17 AUTHORIZING AND RATIFYING V. TRANSFERS OF GENERAL 18 LOUIS V. SCHOOLER and FIRST PARTNERSHIP PROPERTIES FINANCIAL PLANNING 19 CORPORATION d/b/a WESTERN February 9, 2018 Date: FINANCIAL PLANNING 1:30 p.m. 20 Time: CORPORATION, Ctrm.: 2D Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel 21 Judge: Defendants. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

I. INTRODUCTION

On December 11, 2017, the Court granted the Receiver's request for authority to transfer properties held by the General Partnerships included in the receivership ("GPs") to Western and to close the GPs ("Transfer Authorization Order"). Dkt. No. 1565. The Receiver and his counsel then proceeded to prepare and execute the documents necessary to effectuate the authorized property transfers prior to the end of the year. The Receiver was then advised by his tax accountants at Duffy Kruspodin & Company that with the transfer of the GP properties to Western and the closure of the GPs (with final tax returns being filed for the GPs), the properties would fall under the Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") tax return for the receivership¹ and, in order to ensure the properties are recognized as being within the QSF for federal and state tax reporting purposes, the best course of action was to set up a QSF trust to hold the properties and their sale proceeds consistent with the Court orders. Declaration of Thomas C. Hebrank filed herewith ("Hebrank Declaration"), ¶ 2.

Due to the importance of completing the transfers in 2017 (to avoid GP tax returns having to be prepared for 2018) and considering the shortness of time in which to do so, the Receiver promptly set up a QSF trust entitled the WFP Receivership QSF Trust ("QSF Trust"). The QSF Trust has its own employer identification number (or EIN) with the Internal Revenue Service and the required QSF tax return for the receivership estate will be filed under that number. Hebrank Declaration, ¶ 3.

Although there is no meaningful distinction between Western and the QSF Trust for the receivership estate and the distribution of receivership assets to the holders of allowed claims, and the QSF Trust is simply being formed for tax

A Qualified Settlement Fund or QSF is established under Internal Revenue Code section 468B and applicable regulations by operation of law when, among other things, a receivership is established over certain entities or assets to be distributed to those determined to have valid claims.

reporting purposes, the Receiver nevertheless seeks specific authorization and ratification of the transfers of GP properties to the QSF Trust for practical reasons relating to the future sale of properties transferred to the QSF Trust. Specifically, title companies are very particular about insuring title for sales of receivership properties and are already requiring that there be an order specifically authorizing (or ratifying) the transfer of GP properties to the QSF Trust (as opposed to Western). Without such an order, many, if not all, title companies would refuse to issue a title insurance policy to the buyer in connection with future sales. This would effectively prevent the Receiver from being able to sell the properties. Hebrank Declaration, ¶ 4.

One example of this has already arisen in connection with the pending sale of the Dayton IV property, which the Court approved on December 11, 2017, Dkt. No. 1566 ("Dayton IV Sale Order"). With title to the Dayton IV property having recently transferred to the QSF Trust, the title company is now requiring an order specifically authorizing the transfer of the property from the applicable GPs to the QSF Trust *and* specifically approving and authorizing the sale of the property from the QSF Trust to the buyer, KSA Advancements, LLC ("Buyer").² Therefore, in addition to seeking an order specifically authorizing/ratifying the transfers of the GP properties to the QSF Trust, the Receiver also requests an order confirming and authorizing the sale of the Dayton IV property – on the exact same terms previously approved – from the QSF Trust to Buyer. This will allow both Buyer to obtain a title insurance policy and the Court-approved sale to close. Hebrank Declaration, ¶ 5.

II. ARGUMENT

"The power of a district court to impose a receivership or grant other forms of ancillary relief does not in the first instance depend on a statutory grant of

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

The existing order approves the sale from the applicable GPs to Buyer as opposed to the QSF Trust to Buyer.

power from the securities laws. Rather, the authority derives from the inherent power of a court of equity to fashion effective relief." SEC v. Wencke, 622 F.2d 1363, 1369 (9th Cir. 1980). The "primary purpose of equity receiverships is to promote orderly and efficient administration of the estate by the district court for 4 the benefit of creditors." SEC v. Hardy, 803 F.2d 1034, 1038 (9th Cir 1986). As 5 the appointment of a receiver is authorized by the broad equitable powers of the 6 7 court, any distribution of assets must also be done equitably and fairly. See SEC 8 v. Elliot, 953 F.2d 1560, 1569 (11th Cir. 1992). 9 District courts have the broad power of a court of equity to determine the appropriate action in the administration and supervision of an equity receivership. 10 See SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC, 397 F.3d 733, 738 (9th Cir. 2005). The 11 12 Ninth Circuit explained: A district court's power to supervise an equity 13 receivership and to determine the appropriate action to be taken in the administration of the receivership is 14 extremely broad. The district court has broad powers and wide discretion to determine the appropriate relief 15 in an equity receivership. The basis for this broad deference to the district court's supervisory role in 16 equity receiverships arises out of the fact that most receiverships involve multiple parties and complex 17 transactions. A district court's decision concerning the supervision of an equitable receivership is reviewed for 18 abuse of discretion. 19 20 Id. (citations omitted); see also CFTC v. Topworth Int'l, Ltd., 205 F.3d 1107, 21 1115 (9th Cir. 1999) ("This court affords 'broad deference' to the court's 22 supervisory role, and 'we generally uphold reasonable procedures instituted by the district court that serve th[e] purpose' of orderly and efficient administration of 23 the receivership for the benefit of creditors."). Accordingly, the Court has broad 24 25 discretion in approving procedures for the sale of receivership estate assets. Here, the Receiver seeks orders of an administrative nature that amend and 26 27 supplement the Transfer Authorization Order and Dayton IV Sale Order. The

requested orders will assist with pending and future sales of receivership

properties. The establishment of the QSF Trust was determined to be necessary 1 2 for federal and state tax reporting purposes, but does not alter or have any meaningful impact on the receivership estate, which continues to hold all assets of the Receivership Entities, pursuant to the Court's orders, for the benefit of 4 investors with allowed claims. 5 6 As discussed above, the requested orders will eliminate issues with title 7 insurance companies and allow the Receiver to efficiently close sales that have 8 been authorized and approved by the Court pursuant to the Modified Orderly Sale Process. This will reduce administrative expenses associated with sales and conserve receivership estate assets for distribution to investors with allowed 10 claims. 11 III. CONCLUSION 12 Based on the foregoing, the Receiver requests an order amending and 13 supplementing the Transfer Authorization Order and Dayton IV Sale Order as 14 15 follows: 1. Authorizing and ratifying the transfers of title to all properties held 16 by the GPs from the GPs to WFP Receivership QSF Trust, whether such transfers 17 18 occur before or after entry of the order; and 19 2. Approving and authorizing the sale of the property known as 20 Dayton IV, as described in the Declaration of Thomas C. Hebrank filed on 21 November 9, 2017 and exhibits thereto (Dkt. No. 1550-2), from WFP 22 Receivership QSF Trust to KSA Advancements, LLC, on the same terms and conditions provided in the previously filed sale motion (Dkt. No. 1550) and sale 23 approval order (Dkt. No. 1566). 24 25 Dated: January 5, 2018 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 26 /s/ Edward Fates By: 27 EDWARD G. FATES Attorneys for Receiver 28 THOMÁS C. HEBRANK

ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 1 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) 2 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 3 4 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com 5 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 6 EDWARD G. FATES (BAR NO. 227809) One America Plaza 7 600 West Broadway, 27th Floor San Diego, California 92101-0903 8 Phone: (619) 233-1155 Fax: (619) 233-1158 9 E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com 10 Attorneys for Receiver THOMÁS C. HEBRANK 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE Case No. 3:12-cv-2164-GPC-JMA 15 COMMISSION, **DECLARATION OF THOMAS C.** 16 Plaintiff, HEBRANK IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR AMENDED ORDER 17 AUTHORIZING AND RATIFYING V. TRANSFERS OF GENERAL 18 LOUIS V. SCHOOLER and FIRST PARTNERSHIP PROPERTIES FINANCIAL PLANNING 19 CORPORATION d/b/a WESTERN February 9, 2018 Date: FINANCIAL PLANNING 1:30 p.m. 20 Time: CORPORATION, Ctrm.: 2D Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel Judge: 21 Defendants. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

I, Thomas C. Hebrank, declare:

1. I am the Court-appointed receiver for First Financial Planning Corporation d/b/a Western Financial Planning Corporation ("Western"), its subsidiaries and the General Partnerships listed in Schedule 1 to the Preliminary Injunction Order entered on March 13, 2013 (collectively, "Receivership Entities"). I make this declaration in support of my Motion for Amended Order Authorizing and Ratifying Transfers of General Partnership Properties ("Motion"). I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and if called upon to do so, I could and would personally and competently testify to them.

- 2. After the Court granted my request to transfer properties held by the General Partnerships included in the receivership ("GPs") to Western and to close the GPs ("Transfer Authorization Order") on December 11, 2017 (Dkt. No. 1565), my counsel and I proceeded to prepare and execute the documents necessary to effectuate the authorized property transfers prior to the end of the year. I was then advised by my tax accountants at Duffy Kruspodin & Company that with the transfer of the GP properties to Western and the closure of the GPs (with final tax returns being filed for the GPs), the properties would fall under the Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") tax return for the receivership and, in order to ensure the properties are recognized as being within the QSF for federal and state tax reporting purposes, the best course of action was to set up a QSF trust to hold the properties and their sale proceeds consistent with the Court orders.
- 3. Due to the importance of completing the transfers in 2017 (to avoid GP tax returns having to be prepared for 2018) and considering the shortness of time in which to do so, I promptly set up a QSF trust entitled the WFP Receivership QSF Trust ("QSF Trust"). The QSF Trust has its own employer identification number (or EIN) with the Internal Revenue Service and the required QSF tax return for the receivership estate will be filed under that number.

- 4. Although there is no meaningful distinction between Western and the QSF Trust for the receivership estate and the distribution of receivership assets to the holders of allowed claims, and the QSF Trust is simply being formed for tax reporting purposes, I nevertheless seek specific authorization and ratification of the transfers of GP properties to the QSF Trust for practical reasons relating to the future sale of properties transferred to the QSF Trust. Specifically, title companies are very particular about insuring title for sales of receivership properties and are already requiring that there be an order specifically authorizing (or ratifying) the transfer of GP properties to the QSF Trust (as opposed to Western). Without such an order, many, if not all, title companies would refuse to issue a title insurance policy to the buyer in connection with future sales. This would effectively prevent me from being able to sell the properties.
- 5. One example of this has already arisen in connection with the pending sale of the Dayton IV property, which the Court approved on December 11, 2017 (Dkt. No. 1566) ("Dayton IV Sale Order"). With title to the Dayton IV property having recently transferred to the QSF Trust, the title company is now requiring an order specifically authorizing the transfer of the property from the applicable GPs to the QSF Trust *and* specifically approving and authorizing the sale of the property from the QSF Trust to the buyer, KSA Advancements, LLC ("Buyer"). Therefore, in addition to seeking an order specifically authorizing/ratifying the transfers of the GP properties to the QSF Trust, I also request an order confirming and authorizing the sale of the Dayton IV property on the exact same terms previously approved from the QSF Trust to Buyer. This will allow both Buyer to obtain a title insurance policy and the Court-approved sale to close.

The existing order approves the sale from the applicable GPs to Buyer as opposed to the QSF Trust to Buyer.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this <u>5</u> day of January 2018, at San Diego, California. THOMAS C. HEBRANK