

July 14, 2015

Fernley I Information Packet

- Crystal Clearwater Partners (2011) 50%
- High Desert Partners (2011) 50%

Dear Investor:

This information packet is being provided to you pursuant to an order of the District Court in the pending case between the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), Louis Schooler, and First Financial Planning Corporation d/b/a Western Financial Planning Corporation ("Western"). The relevant order, which is dated March 4, 2015, and is entitled Order Keeping General Partnerships Under Receivership ("Order"), is available at the Receiver's website, www.ethreeadvisors.com (use the SEC Case Docs tab). You are encouraged to review the order carefully.

This packet is intended to provide you with information about the District Court case, the Receiver's findings, and the financial condition of your General Partnership ("GP"). Specifically, the Order instructs the Receiver to provide you with the following information:

I. SEC ALLEGATIONS

The following is a summary of the allegations made by the SEC in the case:

On September 4, 2012, the SEC filed a complaint against Louis Schooler and Western, alleging they committed fraud and failed to register securities in violation of the federal securities laws.

A. Fraud Allegations

The SEC alleges Mr. Schooler orchestrated an offering fraud that involved buying raw, undeveloped land and using his company, Western, to sell the land to investors for far more than it was worth, without properly disclosing its true value. The SEC alleges Mr. Schooler marked up the land and sold it to investors at a price that was several times higher than what he paid for it (in some cases, 500% higher or more) without disclosing to investors the price he paid.

The SEC also alleges Mr. Schooler led investors to believe they received a good price for the land Western offered. His sales force allegedly used real estate "comps" that appeared to show that similar land was worth even more than what investors were paying for Western's land. However, the SEC alleges the "comps" were not truly comparable because they often included entitlements, zoning, water rights, and other features that Western's land did not have.

In addition to misrepresenting the value of the land to investors, the SEC alleges Mr. Schooler concealed the fact the land Western offered to investors was often subject to mortgages Western had taken out to pay for the land. The SEC also alleges Mr. Schooler did not disclose the land was not owned outright nor the risk that the seller could foreclose upon the property if Western ceased making mortgage payments.

B. Registration Violations

The SEC alleges Western sold its land to investors through GPs that it structured, organized, and managed from inception through the time of an eventual land sale. Investors, many of whom were allegedly unsophisticated in business affairs, purchased units in a GP, and the GP purchased land from Western. The SEC alleges the GP units are securities because investors were completely dependent on Schooler and Western to manage their investment. Because Schooler and Western failed to register their securities offerings with the SEC, the SEC alleges they violated the securities registration provisions. On April 25, 2014, the District Court decided that the GP units sold to investors are securities.

II. RECEIVER'S FINDINGS

A. Original Purchase Prices of GP Properties, Funds Raised By Western, and Appraised Values of GP Properties

Western (through a related entity Real Asset Locators) bought the Fernley I land on February 22, 2010 for a purchase price of \$459,000. In 2011, Western formed 2 underlying partnerships, each with a 50% undivided interest in the property. Western raised \$3,270,500 when it sold the land to investors, or \$2,811,500 (613%) more than it paid for the land. An outside appraisal obtained in July 2015 valued the property at \$210,000 (an appraisal obtained in 2013 from a different appraiser had valued the property at \$230,000). This is all outlined in the chart below.

Western transferred \$2,769,761 of the excess proceeds to itself at the initial funding.

	Western Land Purchase Price	Amount Raised From Investors	Excess Proceeds Raised by Western	Excess Proceeds	2015 Appraised Value
Crystal Clearwater	\$229,500	\$1,620,000	\$1,390,500	606%	\$105,000
High Desert	\$229,500	\$1,650,500	\$1,421,000	619%	\$105,000
	\$459,000	\$3,270,500	\$2,811,500	613%	\$210,000

B. How the Difference Between the Original Purchase Prices and the Money Raised Was Spent by Western

Western did not keep separate accounts for the funds it obtained from each GP. All funds it obtained from the GPs for selling land went to the same primary bank account. Therefore,

there is no way to know exactly how the funds Western obtained for selling the Fernley I land were used, as opposed to funds obtained from selling other land. However, the Receiver did an analysis of how funds were spent by Western for the time period 2005 - 2012. Based upon average amounts spent during that period by category, the \$838,232 in excess proceeds received by Western is estimated to have been spent as follows:

	Average % Paid	Estimated Amount Paid
Payments to Schooler	21%	\$601,418
Sales Commissions	15%	\$434,852
Payroll - Other	17%	\$490,836
Operating Expenses	21%	\$591,482
Western Investments in GPs	9%	\$255,207
Income Taxes	6%	\$158,026
Payments to Related Parties	6%	\$161,954
Payments to Escrow Companies	4%	\$117,725
	100%	\$2,811,500

Additional information on the Receiver's findings concerning the financial affairs of Western and the GPs can be found on the Receiver's website, www.ethreeadvisors.com (use the SEC Case Docs tab), including Part One and Part Two of the Receiver's Forensic Accounting Report.

III. CURRENT FINANCIAL STATUS OF FERNLEY I GPS

Listed below are the current and projected cash balances for the Fernley I GPs.

					Percentage of
	Cash as	Est 2015	Est 2015	Estimated	Operational
	of 1/1/2015	& 2016 Receipts	& 2016 Expenses	Cash as of 12/31/2016	Bills Paid Since 2013
Crystal Clearwater	\$75,456	\$17,568	(\$43,536)	\$49,488	N/A
High Desert	\$71,120	\$34,114	(\$64,646)	\$40,588	N/A
	\$146,576	\$51,682	(\$108,182)	\$90,076	N/A

The receipts consist of investor note payments made by investors who financed a portion of their investments. Expenses consist primarily of administrators, tax preparation, property taxes, insurance, and loan payments due to Western for financed investments. Attached as Exhibit "A" are actual and estimated receipts and disbursements for 2014, 2015 and 2016 for

each GP. Both GPs are projected to have sufficient cash through 2016 to pay their operating expenses.

It is important to understand that, because of the co-tenancy structure for Fernley I, in which both of the GPs own a percentage of the same land, the financial status of each GP can potentially affect the other GPs. If, for example, one GP becomes unable to pay its operating expenses such as property taxes, the other GP may be adversely effected by the late charges and/or penalties incurred for the land as a whole. Our current projection for Fernley I is that this will not occur before the end of 2016. Per the Court's order, if the investors in one of the GPs does not raise sufficient funds in this capital call, investors in the other GP will be given the opportunity to cover the shortfall in capital needed to pay expenses. Investors can also voluntarily contribute additional capital to fund a deficiency, with a corresponding increase in their ownership interest. Should a future need arise and sufficient capital not be raised through these means, the Fernley I property will be marketed and sold, subject to Court approval of the sale terms.

IV. ESTIMATED CURRENT VALUE OF INVESTMENT

Listed below is the estimated cash proceeds that would be received from a sale of the property, based on its July 2015 appraised value. The estimated net sale proceeds are based on the existing 2015 appraised value (less cost of sale). There are significant underlying GP notes payable to Western on this property. Based on the current appraised value of the property, this property would be considered "underwater" as relates to High Desert Partnership – the net sales proceeds are less than the underlying liabilities on the property. While the 2 GPs have sufficient capital to continue to operate through at least 2016, the long term prospects of recovery, especially as relates to the estimated net cash proceeds from a sale of \$15,570 pale in comparison to the \$3,270,500 initially contributed by the investors.

	Estimated Net Sale Proceeds	GP Notes Payable to Western	Outstanding Balance on Mortgages	Estimated Cash Proceeds to GPs from Sale
Crystal Clearwater	\$97,650	(\$61,238)	\$0	\$36,412
High Desert	\$97,650	(\$115,492)	\$0	(\$17,842)
	\$195,300	(\$176,730)	\$0	\$18,570

Sincerely,

Thomas C. Hebrank, CPA, CIRA

Thomas C Hebrank

Receiver

EXHIBIT "A"

Crystal Clearwater & Clearwater Bridge LLC

2014/2015/2016 Operational Summary and Estimated Operational Expenses

	Actual /			
	Actual	Projected		Projected
	2014	2015		2016
Beginning Cash Balance	88,466	75,456	-	61,736
Receipts				
2013 Cleared Deposits	(840)			
Miscellaneous Receipts	-	-		-
Partner Note Payments	9,602	8,784	_	8,784
Total Receipts	8,762	8,784		8,784
Disbursements				
Administrator Payroll	5,200	2,200	1	1,200
K-1 Preparation	1,266	3,272	2	2,800
Property Taxes/Bond Payments	1,752	1,426		1,426
Insurance	300	300		300
Office Supplies	123	150		150
Appraisal	-	-		-
State and Local Entity Filings	225	1,250		1,250
Income Taxes	800	800		800
Miscellaneous	-	1,000	3	1,000
GP Note Owed to Western	12,106	12,106	4	12,106
Total Disbursements	21,772	22,504	_	21,032
			_	
Ending Cash Balance	75,456	61,736	_	49,488
	<u> </u>		_	

Amount to be Billed

¹ In April 2015, the GPs will pay a small upfront fee to Lincoln property, but will see comparable costs thereafter.

It should be noted that the 2014 K-1 preparation was due in 2014, but not paid unit 2015 because the Court had not yet authorized that payment. We anticipate that expense will be due and payable in the applicable year on a goforward basis (i.e billed in 2015 and paid in 2015). Therefore, the K-1 Preparation expenses include 2014 and 2015 fees.

³ This amount is included for budgetary reasons but is not expensed if not used.

High Desert & High Desert Shadow LLC

2014/2015/2016 Operational Summary and Estimated Operational Expenses

	Actual / Actual Projected			Projected	
	2014	2015		2016	
Beginning Cash Balance	85,587	71,120		54,939	
Receipts					
2013 Cleared Deposits	(840)	-		-	
Miscellaneous Receipts	-	-		-	
Partner Note Payments	18,375	17,057	_	17,057	
Total Receipts	17,535	17,057	_	17,057	
Disbursements					
Administrator Payroll	5,200	2,200	1	1,200	
K-1 Preparation	1,150	3,630	2	2,800	
Property Taxes/Bond Payments	1,427	1,426		1,426	
Insurance	300	300		300	
Office Supplies	162	175		175	
Appraisal	550	1 204		1 204	
State and Local Entity Filings	550	1,294		1,294	
Income Taxes	800	800	3	800	
Miscellaneous	-	1,000	4	1,000	
GP Note Owed to Western	22,413	22,413	-	22,413	
Total Disbursements	32,002	33,238	_	31,408	
Ending Cash Balance	71,120	54,939	_	40,588	

Amount to be Billed -

¹ In April 2015, the GPs will pay a small upfront fee to Lincoln property, but will see comparable costs thereafter.

It should be noted that the 2014 K-1 preparation was due in 2014, but not paid unit 2015 because the Court had not yet authorized that payment. We anticipate that expense will be due and payable in the applicable year on a goforward basis (i.e billed in 2015 and paid in 2015). Therefore, the K-1 Preparation expenses include 2014 and 2015

³ This amount is included for budgetary reasons but is not expensed if not used.

⁴ This payment reflects the amount due from the partnership to Western for those Investors that financed their