LYNN M. DEAN, Cal. Bar No. 205562 1 Email: deanl@sec.gov SARA KALIN, Cal. Bar No. 212156 2 Email: kalins@sec.gov 3 Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission 4 Michele Wein Layne, Regional Director Amy Jane Longo, Regional Trial Counsel 444 S. Flower Street, Suite 900 5 Los Angeles, California 90071 6 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (213) 443-1904 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 12 CV 2164 GPC JMA SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE 12 COMMISSION. PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF 13 SUPPORT FOR RECEIVER'S Plaintiff, **MOTION FOR ORDER:** 14 VS. (A) RESOLVING DISPUTED **CLAIMS**; 15 LOUIS V. SCHOOLER and FIRST FINANCIAL PLANNING (B) APPROVING PROPOSED 16 CORPORATION d/b/a WESTERN **ALLOWED CLAIM AMOUNTS;** FINANCIAL PLANNING AND 17 CORPORATION, (C) AUTHORIZING DISSOLUTION OF GENERAL PARTNERSHIPS 18 Defendants. AND RELATED ENTITIES 19 Dkt. No. 1545 20 December 15, 2017 Date: 21 1:30 p.m. Time: Ctrm: 22 Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel Judge: 23 24 25 26 27 28

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") hereby files this Statement of Support for the Receiver's Motion for an Order (A) Resolving Disputed Claims; (B) Approving Proposed Allowed Claim Amounts; and (C) Authorizing Dissolution of General Partnerships and Related Entities. (Dkt. No. 1545).

## A. The Court Has Inherent Power to Grant the Relief Sought

The Court has the inherent power to grant the relief sought by the Receiver. Because the "primary purpose of equity receiverships is to promote orderly and efficient administration of the estate by the district court for the benefit of creditors," *SEC v. Hardy*, 803 F.2d 1034, 1038 (9th Cir 1986), district courts have broad power to determine the appropriate action in the administration and supervision of an equity receivership. *See SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC*, 397 F.3d 733, 738 (9th Cir. 2005). Accordingly, the Court has broad discretion in approving the distribution of receivership assets.

## **B.** Approval of the Claims

The SEC has reviewed the claims process set forth by the Receiver and his explanation for the treatment of the six disputed claims, and agrees that the Receiver's proposed allowed claim amount for each investor claim is appropriate and should be approved. The Receiver appears to have exercised due care in reviewing the claims made by investors, such that the process resulted in an extremely small number of disputed claims. The claim amounts recommended by the Receiver appear to be appropriate and the SEC supports their approval.

## C. Dissolution of the GPS

Likewise, the SEC agrees that the GPs should be dissolved and the properties held by the GPs transferred to Western. As the Receiver notes in his motion, keeping the GPs open involves considerable expenses, including fees for preparing annual tax returns and K-1s. These expenses, which exceed \$100,000 per year, can and should be avoided so that the funds can be distributed to investors with allowed claims. The properties held in the names of the GPs should be transferred to Western and the GPs

and related entities should be dissolved and closed.

## D. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the SEC supports the Receiver's Motion and believes it is in the best interest of investors and the Receivership estate.

Dated: December 1, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lynn M. Dean

Lynn M. Dean
Sara D. Kalin
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Securities and Exchange Commission

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is: 2 U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, 3 444 S. Flower Street, Suite 900, Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone No. (323) 965-3998; Facsimile No. (213) 443-1904. 4 On December 1, 2017, I caused to be served the document entitled **PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF SUPPORT FOR RECEIVER'S MOTION FOR ORDER:** (A) 5 RESOLVING DISPUTED CLAIMS; (B) APPROVING PROPOSED ALLOWED CLAIM AMOUNTS; AND (C) AUTHORIZING DISSOLUTION OF GENERAL PARTNERSHIPS AND RELATED ENTITIES 6 7 on all the parties to this action addressed as stated on the attached service list: 8 **OFFICE MAIL:** By placing in sealed envelope(s), which I placed for collection and mailing today following ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this agency's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing; such correspondence would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on 10 the same day in the ordinary course of business. 11 ☐ **PERSONAL DEPOSIT IN MAIL:** By placing in sealed envelope(s), which I personally deposited with the U.S. Postal Service. Each such envelope was 12 deposited with the U.S. Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, with first class postage thereon fully prepaid. 13 **EXPRESS U.S. MAIL:** Each such envelope was deposited in a facility 14 regularly maintained at the U.S. Postal Service for receipt of Express Mail at Los Angeles, California, with Express Mail postage paid. 15 **HAND DELIVERY:** I caused to be hand delivered each such envelope to the 16 office of the addressee as stated on the attached service list. 17 **UNITED PARCEL SERVICE:** By placing in sealed envelope(s) designated by United Parcel Service ("UPS") with delivery fees paid or provided for, which I 18 deposited in a facility regularly maintained by UPS or delivered to a UPS courier, at Los Angeles, California. 19 **ELECTRONIC MAIL:** By transmitting the document by electronic mail to 20 the electronic mail address as stated on the attached service list. 21 **E-FILING:** By causing the document to be electronically filed via the Court's CM/ECF system, which effects electronic service on counsel who are registered with 22 the CM/ECF system. 23 **FAX:** By transmitting the document by facsimile transmission. The transmission was reported as complete and without error. 24 25 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 26 Date: December 1, 2017 /s/ Lynn M. Dean Lynn M. Dean 27

28

SEC v. <u>Louis V. Schooler, et al.</u>
United States District Court—Southern District of California
Case No. 12 CV 2164 GPC JMA 1 2 **SERVICE LIST** 3 4 Philip H. Dyson, Esq. (served via CM/ECF only) Law Offices of Philip Dyson 5 8461 La Mesa Boulevard 6 La Mesa, CA 91941 Email: phildysonlaw@gmail.com 7 Attorney for Defendants Louis V. Schooler First Financial Planning Corporation d/b/a Western Financial Planning Corporation 8 9 Ted Fates, Esq. (served via CM/ECF only) Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP 10 501 W. Broadway, 15th Floor San Diego, CA 92101 11 Email: tfates@allenmatkins.com Attorney for Court-Appointed Receiver, Thomas C. Hebrank 12 13 Thomas C. Hebrank, CPA, CIRA (served via electronic mail only) E3 Advisors 14 501 W. Broadway, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101 15 Email: thebrank@ethreeadvisors.com Court-Appointed Receiver 16 17 Gary J. Aguirre, Esq. (SBN 38927) (served via CM/ECF only) Aguirre Law, APC 501 W. Broadway, Ste. 800 18 San Diego, CA 92101 19 Tel: 619-400-4960 Fax: 619-501-7072 20 Email: Gary@aguirrelawfirm.com Counsel for Certain Investors 21 22 Timothy P. Dillon, Esq. (served via CM/ECF only) Dillon Gerardi Hershberger Miller & Ahuja, LLP 23 5872 Owens Avenue, Suite 200 Carlsbad, California 92008 Tel (858) 587-1800 24 Email: tdillon@dghmalaw.com 25 Counsel for Certain Investors 26 27

28